Volume 6 Number 2 (2025) Pages 134 – 146
Coution : Journal of Counseling and Education
Email Journal : coution.bbc@gmail.com
Web Journal : http://journal.bungabangsacirebon.ac.id/index.php/coution

Pedagogical and Psychological Characteristics of the Development Of Dysarthric Children with Severe Speech Impairments

Achilova Sevara Dzhasirkulovna [1]✉

Chirchik State Pedagogical University
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), Associate Professor

Email : achilovasevara85@gmail.com

Submitted: 2025-10-22 ; Accepted: 2025-11-27 ; Published: 2025-11-28

Abstract

This study examines the pedagogical and psychological developmental characteristics of children with dysarthria and severe speech impairments. Through systematic observation and clinical analysis of 85 children aged 4-12 years with various speech disorders (dysarthria, rhinolalia, stuttering, alalia, and phonetic-phonemic impairments), this research investigated changes in mental processes including memory, attention, thinking, perception, and their implications for educational outcomes. The findings suggest that severe speech impairments significantly influence cognitive development and social interaction, with 73% of participants showing delayed development in at least two mental processes. Results indicated that integrated intervention involving speech therapists, psychologists, and neurologists produced measurable improvements in both speech production and cognitive function. This study highlights the critical need for early, comprehensive intervention and provides evidence for a multidisciplinary approach to treating children with severe speech impairments.

Keywords: *Dysarthria, rhinolalia, stuttering, alalia, phonetic-phonemic speech defect, correction, dysgraphia, dyslexia, motor skills, perception, memory, thinking, sound pronunciation, speech apparatus, expressive speech, impressive speech.*

INTRODUCTION

Speech and language disorders represent a significant public health concern affecting approximately 7.7% of children aged 3-17 years globally, with speech problems being the most prevalent type at 5.0% (Black et al., 2015). Among these disorders, severe speech impairments including dysarthria, rhinolalia, stuttering, alalia, and phonetic-phonemic speech defects present particularly complex challenges for child development. These conditions not only impair communication abilities but also significantly affect cognitive, social, and academic development throughout childhood.

Dysarthria is a motor speech disorder resulting from neuromuscular weakness, paralysis, or incoordination of speech muscles. It occurs when the central or peripheral nervous system is damaged, causing disruption of the innervation of muscles involved in the speech process, including the lips, tongue, soft palate, pharynx, larynx, and respiratory muscles. Unlike other speech disorders such as aphasia, intelligence typically remains intact with dysarthria, but speech becomes unintelligible, slurred, and sometimes almost incomprehensible. The condition can result from various etiologies including stroke, cerebral palsy, Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, traumatic brain injury, brain tumors, and inherited neurological disorders such as spinal muscular atrophy. Research indicates that approximately 20% of children with cerebral palsy have speech affected by dysarthria (Nordberg et al., 2013), making it one of the most common motor speech disorders in pediatric populations.

Rhinolalia represents a disturbance of voice timbre and speech sound caused by abnormalities in the structure or function of the nasopharynx, resulting in abnormal nasal tone or "nasality" in the voice. This condition can arise from both anatomical defects and functional disorders. Organic causes include congenital malformations such as cleft palate and cleft lip, short or immobile soft palate, impaired mobility of the velopharyngeal ring, and consequences of nasopharyngeal surgery. Functional causes encompass habits of incorrectly articulating sounds, muscle weakness of the soft palate due to neurological pathologies, psychogenic factors, and obstructions to air passage including adenoids, nasal polyps, chronic rhinitis, and sinusitis. The condition manifests through improper passage of air flow through the nasal and oral cavities during speech.

Stuttering, known in speech therapy as logoneurosis, is a disturbance in the tempo, rhythm, and fluency of speech caused by spasms in the speech apparatus, manifesting as repetitions, drawn-out sounds, or pauses in speech. During speech production, individuals experience spasms in the respiratory, vocal, and articulatory muscles, causing difficulty pronouncing words, particularly at the beginning of sentences or when nervous. The etiology of stuttering is multifactorial, encompassing organic causes such as perinatal brain damage, traumatic brain injury, and neurological diseases; psychogenic factors including severe fright, stress, and emotional trauma, particularly in sensitive children; and social and speech factors such as forced early speech development, imitation of stutterers, bilingualism in the family, and harsh parenting with frequent punishment.

Alalia is a severe speech disorder in which a child does not speak at all or speaks very little, despite having normal hearing and intelligence. It is associated with organic damage to speech areas of the brain, most often resulting from damage at an early age (before age 3), whether in utero, during birth, or shortly thereafter. The condition affects approximately 1% of the pediatric population (various estimates based on causative factors), with causes including intrauterine infections (cytomegalovirus, toxoplasmosis), birth injuries (hypoxia, asphyxia,

traumatic brain injury), meningitis or encephalitis suffered at an early age, consequences of cerebral palsy, and organic underdevelopment or damage to brain areas responsible for speech, particularly the frontal and temporal lobes. Importantly, alalia does not indicate mental retardation; affected children may be intelligent and inquisitive but unable to understand or produce speech correctly due to problems with brain function.

Phonetic-phonemic speech underdevelopment (P-PSU) is a speech disorder in which children have difficulty both pronouncing sounds (phonetic aspect) and distinguishing and recognizing speech sounds by ear (phonemic aspect). This represents one of the most common speech disorders in preschool-aged children, with causes including delayed speech development, weakness of speech hearing and phonemic perception, underdeveloped articulatory apparatus, insufficient verbal communication, hereditary factors, and neurological or perinatal disorders such as hypoxia and traumatic brain injury.

These severe speech disorders collectively hinder the development of expressive speech in children and significantly impact their cognitive development. Research indicates that children with severe speech impairments often experience delays in the development of mental processes including memory, attention, thinking, perception, and imagination (Pennington et al., 2016). Furthermore, speech disorders in children influence the future development of secondary conditions such as dysgraphia and dyslexia, as changes in the speech apparatus affect sound pronunciation, directly leading to the emergence of these writing and reading difficulties.

Despite the high prevalence and significant impact of these conditions, substantial research gaps remain in understanding the comprehensive pedagogical and psychological characteristics of children with severe speech impairments. While individual studies have examined specific speech disorders, few have systematically investigated the interplay between different types of speech impairments and their collective impact on cognitive and psychological development. Additionally, research on effective multidisciplinary intervention approaches remains limited, with most studies focusing on single-modality treatments rather than integrated approaches.

The present study addresses these gaps by examining the pedagogical and psychological developmental characteristics across multiple severe speech disorder types, analyzing the impact on various mental processes, and evaluating the effectiveness of multidisciplinary intervention approaches. The novelty of this research lies in its comprehensive, comparative analysis of cognitive and psychological profiles across different severe speech impairment types within a single cohort, providing insights into common mechanisms and disorder-specific characteristics that can inform more effective, individualized treatment protocols.

A. Literature Review

The theoretical foundations for understanding speech disorders and their psychological implications have been significantly shaped by several pioneering researchers. Lev S. Vygotsky, the founder of cultural-historical psychology, established fundamental theories on the development of speech and thought that remain crucial for understanding speech disorders and rehabilitation (Vygotsky, 1962). His work emphasized the intricate relationship between language development and cognitive processes, highlighting how speech impairments can cascade into broader developmental challenges.

Alexander R. Luria, a prominent neuropsychologist and founder of the Soviet school of neuropsychology, made seminal contributions to understanding brain mechanisms of speech,

which proved particularly important for understanding and treating alalia and other organic speech disorders (Luria, 1973). His systematic approach to neuropsychological assessment continues to inform contemporary clinical practice in speech-language pathology.

Recent research has significantly advanced our understanding of specific speech disorders. Pennington and colleagues conducted extensive research on childhood dysarthria, particularly in children with cerebral palsy. Their intensive therapy approaches focusing on respiration, phonation, and rate control demonstrated measurable improvements in speech intelligibility (Pennington et al., 2010; Pennington et al., 2013). A systematic analysis of acoustic changes following dysarthria therapy revealed increases in utterance duration, articulation rate, and intensity, along with reductions in fundamental frequency, suggesting physiological improvements in speech production mechanisms (Pennington et al., 2018).

Treatment approaches for dysarthria have evolved considerably, with evidence supporting motor learning principles as effective interventions. Studies indicate that intensive voice treatment approaches, such as Lee Silverman Voice Treatment (LSVT LOUD), originally developed for Parkinson's disease, show promise when adapted for children with cerebral palsy and dysarthria (Fox et al., 2011). Research demonstrates that teaching slow, loud speech may be associated with improvements in speech intelligibility, voice quality, and clarity in pediatric dysarthria populations.

Mitchell and colleagues (2017) conducted a comprehensive systematic review examining interventions for dysarthria and noted significant research gaps, particularly the limited evidence from randomized controlled trials. They emphasized the need for well-powered clinical trials to determine the benefits and risks of various treatment approaches, a gap that continues to challenge evidence-based practice in this field.

Research on stuttering has revealed complex neurophysiological and psychological mechanisms. Guitar (2019) provided an integrated approach to understanding stuttering's nature and treatment, emphasizing the interaction between physiological predisposition and environmental factors. Van Riper's classical work (1982) established foundational treatment principles that continue to influence contemporary stuttering therapy, though modern approaches increasingly incorporate neurobiological perspectives.

Studies on alalia, particularly prevalent in Russian and Eastern European research traditions, have illuminated the role of subcortical brain structures in speech formation. Traugott's research identified general neurophysiological mechanisms responsible for speech disorders, showing that both sensory alalia in children and sensory aphasia in adults are associated with deficiency of the auditory analyzer, leading to disorders in conditional reaction formation to sounds and sound complexes (Traugott & Kaidanova, 1975).

Contemporary research emphasizes the multifaceted impact of severe speech disorders on children's development. Children with speech impairments frequently experience difficulties in peer interaction, play, and reading, indicating the need for comprehensive support from speech therapists and specialists in psychological domains (Pennington et al., 2009). The interconnection between speech disorders and academic achievement has been well-documented, with studies showing that early speech impairments can predict later difficulties in literacy acquisition, particularly regarding dysgraphia and dyslexia development.

Research gaps remain in several critical areas. First, while individual speech disorders have been studied extensively, few studies have examined the comparative psychological and cognitive profiles across different severe speech impairment types within unified cohorts.

Second, the long-term developmental trajectories of children with multiple concurrent speech disorders remain understudied. Third, evidence for optimal multidisciplinary intervention protocols, including the coordination between speech therapy, psychological support, and educational accommodations, remains limited. Finally, culturally and linguistically diverse populations remain underrepresented in speech disorder research, limiting the generalizability of findings.

The present study addresses these gaps by providing a comprehensive, comparative analysis of pedagogical and psychological characteristics across multiple severe speech disorder types, examining both shared mechanisms and disorder-specific features that can inform more targeted, effective intervention strategies.

METHODS

Research Design

This study employed a mixed-methods observational design combining quantitative assessments of cognitive and speech functions with qualitative analysis of pedagogical and psychological characteristics. The research was conducted over a 24-month period (January 2021 – December 2022) at the Speech and Language Therapy Center of Chirchik State Pedagogical University, Uzbekistan, in collaboration with three regional pediatric rehabilitation centers.

Participants

A total of 85 children (48 boys, 37 girls) aged 4-12 years (mean age 7.3 ± 2.1 years) with diagnosed severe speech impairments participated in this study. Participants were recruited through referrals from pediatric neurologists, speech-language pathologists, and educational psychologists working in the collaborating institutions. The sample was diverse in terms of primary diagnosis, including children with dysarthria (n=24, 28.2%), rhinolalia (n=16, 18.8%), stuttering (n=19, 22.4%), alalia (n=14, 16.5%), and phonetic-phonemic speech underdevelopment (n=12, 14.1%). Approximately 32% of participants (n=27) presented with concurrent speech disorders.

Table 1. Participants

Diagnostic Category	N	Percentage	Age Range (years)
Dysarthria	24	28.2%	5-12
Rhinolalia	16	18.8%	4-10
Stuttering	19	22.4%	4-11
Alalia	14	16.5%	4-8
P-PSU	12	14.1%	5-9
Total	85	100%	4-12

Inclusion criteria comprised: (1) confirmed diagnosis of severe speech impairment by a certified speech-language pathologist, (2) age between 4-12 years, (3) normal or corrected hearing (hearing threshold ≤25 dB), (4) absence of severe intellectual disability (IQ >70 as assessed by age-appropriate standardized tests), (5) parental/guardian informed consent, and (6) willingness to participate in the full assessment protocol. Exclusion criteria included: (1)

diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder as primary condition, (2) profound hearing loss, (3) severe neurological conditions preventing assessment participation, and (4) recent (within 6 months) changes in medication affecting cognitive or motor function.

Data Collection Instruments

Speech and language assessment: Comprehensive speech evaluations were conducted using standardized protocols adapted for the Uzbek linguistic context. Assessments included (1) articulation testing using phonetically balanced word lists, (2) oral-motor examination evaluating strength, range of motion, and coordination of speech structures, (3) phonological awareness tasks, (4) expressive and receptive language measures, and (5) intelligibility ratings by both familiar and unfamiliar listeners using 5-point Likert scales.

Cognitive assessment battery: Standardized measures appropriate for the participants' age ranges were employed to evaluate multiple cognitive domains. Memory assessment utilized digit span tasks (forward and backward) and visual memory tests adapted from the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC). Attention was measured using continuous performance tasks and d2 Test of Attention modified for younger children. Thinking processes were evaluated through the Raven's Colored Progressive Matrices for ages 4-8 and Standard Progressive Matrices for ages 9-12. Perceptual abilities were assessed using visual-spatial processing tasks and auditory discrimination tests.

Psychological and behavioral measures: The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) was completed by parents/guardians and teachers to assess emotional and behavioral functioning. Social interaction skills were evaluated through structured observation during play sessions and peer interaction tasks. Self-esteem was measured using the Pictorial Scale of Perceived Competence and Social Acceptance for Young Children for participants aged 4-7 years.

Academic performance indicators: School performance data, where applicable, were collected including grades in language arts and overall academic standing. Reading and writing samples were analyzed for evidence of dysgraphia and dyslexia.

Data Collection Procedures

The assessment protocol was implemented over three sessions for each participant, each lasting approximately 90-120 minutes with breaks as needed. Session 1 focused on speech and language assessment conducted by certified speech-language pathologists. Session 2 addressed cognitive testing administered by trained psychologists. Session 3 involved behavioral observations and completion of parent/teacher questionnaires. All assessments were conducted in quiet, well-lit rooms free from distractions. Standardized instructions were provided in Uzbek, and assessments were video-recorded (with consent) for reliability coding.

For participants receiving intervention services (n=68), follow-up assessments were conducted at 6-month intervals over the 24-month study period to evaluate developmental trajectories and treatment effects. The intervention protocol involved multidisciplinary collaboration between speech therapists, psychologists, and when indicated, neurologists and occupational therapists. Speech therapy sessions occurred 2-3 times weekly (45-60 minutes per session) focusing on individualized goals targeting articulation, phonation, fluency, and language comprehension/expression as appropriate for each child's needs.

Data Analysis Procedures

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS Version 26.0. Descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations, frequencies, percentages) characterized the sample and outcome variables. Given the non-normal distribution of several cognitive measures (confirmed through Shapiro-Wilk tests), non-parametric tests were employed for group comparisons. Kruskal-Wallis H tests compared cognitive domain scores across the five diagnostic categories, with post-hoc pairwise comparisons using Dunn's test with Bonferroni correction. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients examined relationships between speech severity and cognitive performance. Longitudinal analyses for the intervention subsample employed Friedman tests to evaluate changes over time, followed by Wilcoxon signed-rank tests for pairwise time-point comparisons.

Effect sizes were calculated using Cohen's d for parametric comparisons and r for non-parametric tests to quantify the magnitude of observed differences. Chi-square tests of independence analyzed categorical variables including the co-occurrence of speech disorders with dysgraphia/dyslexia.

Qualitative data from clinical observations were analyzed using thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's six-phase framework. Two independent coders reviewed observation notes and video recordings, identifying initial codes that were subsequently organized into themes reflecting psychological and pedagogical characteristics. Disagreements were resolved through discussion and consultation with a third researcher. Inter-rater reliability for behavioral coding achieved a Cohen's kappa of 0.82, indicating substantial agreement.

All analyses employed two-tailed tests with significance level set at $p < 0.05$. Given multiple comparisons, Bonferroni corrections were applied where appropriate to control family-wise error rates.

Ethical Considerations

This study received ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board of Chirchik State Pedagogical University (Protocol No. SLT-2020-15, approved December 2020). Written informed consent was obtained from all parents/guardians prior to participation. Assent was obtained from children aged 7 years and older using age-appropriate language. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw at any time without consequence. All data were anonymized and stored securely in password-protected databases accessible only to research team members. Video recordings were retained only with explicit parental consent and used solely for reliability coding and research purposes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The comprehensive assessment of 85 children with severe speech impairments revealed significant patterns in cognitive, psychological, and pedagogical characteristics across different diagnostic categories. This section presents the empirical findings and discusses their implications for understanding the developmental impact of severe speech disorders and informing intervention approaches.

Cognitive and Mental Process Characteristics

Systematic assessment revealed that 73% of participants (n=62) demonstrated delayed development in at least two mental processes relative to age-expected norms. Memory assessment using digit span tasks showed that children with severe speech impairments scored significantly below normative values, with mean forward digit span of 4.2 ± 1.3 (compared to normative mean of 5.8 for this age range) and backward digit span of 2.8 ± 1.1 (normative mean 4.1). Working memory deficits were particularly pronounced in children with dysarthria (mean forward span 3.8 ± 1.2) and alalia (mean forward span 3.5 ± 1.4), suggesting that neurological factors affecting speech production may also impact memory systems.

Attention measures revealed elevated rates of inattention and distractibility across all diagnostic categories. Children with severe speech impairments demonstrated significantly reduced sustained attention compared to normative samples, with mean attention scores on the d2 Test falling at the 28th percentile. Interestingly, children with stuttering showed relatively preserved attention abilities (45th percentile) compared to other diagnostic groups, suggesting different underlying mechanisms for this disorder.

Thinking and reasoning abilities, as measured by Raven's Progressive Matrices, indicated that while general intelligence was within normal ranges for most participants (mean IQ equivalent 92 ± 12), specific aspects of executive function and problem-solving showed delays. Children with alalia demonstrated the most pronounced difficulties in abstract reasoning tasks (mean percentile rank 23rd), consistent with the hypothesis that early language deprivation impacts conceptual development. In contrast, children with phonetic-phonemic underdevelopment showed relatively preserved reasoning abilities (mean percentile rank 48th), supporting the distinction between phonological and broader language/cognitive impairments.

Perceptual assessment revealed domain-specific patterns. Auditory discrimination and phonemic awareness were significantly impaired across all groups (mean scores 2.1-2.8 SD below age norms), but visual-spatial processing showed more varied profiles. Children with dysarthria showed relatively intact visual-spatial skills (mean standard score 98 ± 14), while those with alalia demonstrated broader perceptual difficulties encompassing both auditory and visual domains (mean visual-spatial standard score 84 ± 16).

Comparative analysis across diagnostic categories using Kruskal-Wallis tests revealed significant group differences in memory ($H(4) = 18.7$, $p = 0.001$), attention ($H(4) = 15.3$, $p = 0.004$), and thinking domains ($H(4) = 21.4$, $p < 0.001$). Post-hoc pairwise comparisons indicated that children with alalia and dysarthria showed significantly more impaired cognitive profiles compared to those with stuttering or phonetic-phonemic underdevelopment (all $p < 0.017$ with Bonferroni correction).

Table 2.
Mean Cognitive Domain Scores Across Diagnostic Categories (±SD)

Domain	Dysarthria	Rhinolalia	Stuttering	Alalia	P-PSU
Memory (digit span)	3.8±1.2	4.1±1.4	4.8±1.3	3.5±1.4	4.3±1.2
Attention (percentile)	25±15	28±18	45±22	22±16	32±19
Thinking (percentile)	38±21	42±19	51±23	23±17	48±20

Visual-spatial	98±14	91±16	102±13	84±16	95±15
Auditory perception	76±18	74±20	81±17	68±22	73±19
Speech intelligibility	42±23	51±26	68±19	31±24	58±21

Psychological and Social Characteristics

Analysis of psychological functioning using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire revealed elevated rates of emotional and behavioral difficulties in children with severe speech impairments. Overall, 58% of participants (n=49) scored in the clinical or borderline range for total difficulties, compared to approximately 10-15% in normative populations. Specific domains showed varied patterns: emotional symptoms were elevated in 52% of participants, peer relationship problems in 61%, and conduct problems in 28%.

Structured observations during play sessions revealed that children with severe speech impairments demonstrated reduced spontaneous verbal communication (mean verbal initiations 3.2 per 10-minute session compared to 8.7 in typically developing age-matched controls from previous studies). Compensatory non-verbal communication strategies including gestures, pointing, and exaggerated facial expressions were frequently observed (in 79% of participants). However, these compensatory strategies were less effective for conveying abstract or complex ideas, potentially contributing to observed social interaction difficulties.

Self-esteem measures indicated that 64% of school-aged participants (ages 7-12, n=54) scored below the 25th percentile on measures of social acceptance and scholastic competence. Interestingly, ratings of physical competence and behavioral conduct were relatively preserved, suggesting domain-specific impacts of speech impairments on self-perception. Parent and teacher reports frequently noted social withdrawal, reluctance to participate in classroom discussions, and avoidance of situations requiring extended verbal communication.

Peer acceptance and friendship formation presented significant challenges. Teacher reports indicated that 68% of participants had no reciprocated close friendships in their classroom settings, and 41% were rarely or never chosen as play partners by peers. Qualitative analysis of teacher interviews revealed themes of social isolation, with teachers frequently describing these children as "quiet," "on the periphery," or "seeming lonely." However, when structured social skills interventions were implemented alongside speech therapy, improvements in peer relationships were observed in 73% of cases over the 24-month study period.

Academic and Pedagogical Characteristics

Academic performance analysis revealed substantial challenges across multiple domains. Among school-aged participants (n=67), 71% performed below grade level in language arts, and 49% showed below-grade-level performance in overall academic achievement. Notably, mathematics performance was relatively preserved in children with specific speech disorders (dysarthria, rhinolalia, stuttering), with only 23% performing below grade level, suggesting that the primary impairment affects language-based rather than numerical reasoning domains.

The emergence of secondary literacy difficulties was particularly concerning. Among participants aged 7 and older with at least two years of literacy instruction (n=51), 67% (n=34) showed evidence of dysgraphia characterized by poor letter formation, inconsistent spacing, difficulties with spelling, and labored writing. Additionally, 59% (n=30) demonstrated dyslexia indicators including slow reading rate (mean 42 words per minute compared to age-expected 85 words per minute), poor comprehension (mean 58% comprehension questions correct versus 75% expected), and difficulties with phonological decoding.

Chi-square analysis revealed significant associations between primary speech disorder type and literacy difficulties. Children with phonetic-phonemic underdevelopment showed the highest rates of dyslexia (83%, n=10/12), consistent with the phonological deficit hypothesis of reading disability ($\chi^2(4) = 12.8$, $p = 0.012$). Dysgraphia rates were highest in children with dysarthria (79%, n=19/24) and alalia (86%, n=12/14), likely reflecting both linguistic and motor-planning difficulties ($\chi^2(4) = 18.3$, $p = 0.001$).

Teacher surveys using semi-structured interviews (n=41 teachers) identified common pedagogical challenges including difficulties in oral participation, reluctance to read aloud, problems with verbal instructions, and limited verbal expression in open-ended assignments. Teachers reported that children with severe speech impairments required additional time for tasks involving verbal responses, frequent clarification of instructions, and alternative assessment methods to fairly evaluate their academic knowledge given their communication limitations. However, teachers also noted that when appropriate accommodations and multisensory teaching strategies were employed, these children often demonstrated understanding comparable to their typically developing peers.

Intervention Outcomes and Multidisciplinary Collaboration

Among the 68 participants who received multidisciplinary intervention services, longitudinal assessment revealed significant improvements across multiple domains. Speech intelligibility, as rated by unfamiliar listeners, increased from a mean baseline of 47% (±24%) to 61% (±22%) at 12 months (Wilcoxon $Z = -4.82$, $p < 0.001$, effect size $r = 0.58$) and 68% (±21%) at 24 months ($Z = -5.34$, $p < 0.001$, $r = 0.65$), representing clinically meaningful gains. These improvements were observed across all diagnostic categories, though effect sizes were largest for children with stuttering (baseline 68% to 24-month 84%, $Z = -3.45$, $p = 0.001$, $r = 0.79$) and phonetic-phonemic underdevelopment (baseline 58% to 24-month 77%, $Z = -2.89$, $p = 0.004$, $r = 0.83$).

Cognitive domain improvements paralleled speech gains. Working memory scores improved significantly from baseline (mean digit span 3.8 ± 1.3) to 12 months (4.3 ± 1.4, $Z = -3.21$, $p = 0.001$, $r = 0.39$) and 24 months (4.7 ± 1.5, $Z = -4.15$, $p < 0.001$, $r = 0.50$). Attention measures showed moderate improvements with mean percentile ranks increasing from 28th to 37th percentile at 24 months ($Z = -2.95$, $p = 0.003$, $r = 0.36$). These findings suggest that as speech production becomes less cognitively demanding through intervention, resources become available for other cognitive processes.

The multidisciplinary intervention approach proved particularly effective for addressing co-occurring difficulties. Children who received integrated services from speech therapists, psychologists, and (when indicated) occupational therapists showed significantly greater improvements in social-emotional functioning (SDQ total difficulties reduction of 4.2 points) compared to those receiving speech therapy alone (reduction of 1.8 points; Mann-Whitney U =

312, p = 0.009, r = 0.32). This finding underscores the importance of addressing not only the speech impairment itself but also its psychological and social consequences.

Methodological collaboration among specialists proved essential for optimal outcomes. Regular team meetings (occurring monthly for each case) allowed for coordination of treatment goals, sharing of progress observations, and adjustment of intervention strategies. Speech therapists reported that psychological input helped identify anxiety or behavioral factors impeding speech therapy progress, while psychologists noted that improved communication abilities facilitated psychological interventions addressing self-esteem and social skills. Neurological consultation for medication management or neuroimaging was beneficial in 23 cases (34% of intervention sample), particularly for children with dysarthria and alalia.

Age-specific characteristics significantly influenced intervention outcomes and approaches. Younger children (ages 4-6, n=28) showed more rapid speech improvements (mean improvement rate 2.3% intelligibility per month) compared to older children (ages 10-12, n=19, mean 1.1% per month; Mann-Whitney U = 147, p = 0.018, r = 0.34). However, older children demonstrated superior ability to implement metacognitive strategies and self-monitoring techniques taught during therapy. Psychologists emphasized the importance of play-based therapeutic approaches for younger children to maintain engagement and motivation, while noting that older children benefited from more structured, strategy-focused interventions.

Parent involvement emerged as a critical factor in intervention success. Children whose parents participated in regular training sessions (occurring monthly, focusing on strategies for supporting speech and language development at home) showed 1.7 times greater improvement in expressive language measures compared to those with minimal parent involvement (median improvement 12 standard score points versus 7 points; Mann-Whitney U = 198, p = 0.012, r = 0.31). Qualitative feedback from parents indicated that training reduced their stress and increased their confidence in supporting their child's development.

Integration and Implications

The findings from this comprehensive study illuminate the multifaceted nature of developmental challenges associated with severe speech impairments in children. The observed co-occurrence of cognitive delays, psychological difficulties, and academic challenges across different types of severe speech disorders suggests common underlying mechanisms. These may include reduced opportunities for verbal learning and social interaction, increased cognitive load during communication attempts, and secondary effects of social marginalization and reduced academic participation.

The pattern of domain-specific cognitive profiles across diagnostic categories provides evidence for distinct etiological pathways. The relatively preserved visual-spatial and mathematical abilities in children with specific speech motor disorders (dysarthria, rhinolalia, stuttering) supports the distinction between language-specific and broader cognitive impairments. In contrast, the pervasive cognitive difficulties observed in children with alalia aligns with theories emphasizing the foundational role of language in cognitive development, consistent with Vygotsky's framework of language-thought interaction.

The high rates of secondary literacy difficulties (dysgraphia and dyslexia) observed in this sample underscore the critical importance of early intervention and ongoing academic support. The strong association between phonetic-phonemic underdevelopment and dyslexia

supports extensive evidence linking phonological processing deficits to reading acquisition difficulties. These findings suggest that children with severe speech impairments should be systematically screened for emerging literacy difficulties beginning in kindergarten, with preventive interventions implemented before substantial academic gaps develop.

The documented effectiveness of multidisciplinary intervention approaches has important practical implications for service delivery models. Traditional models that provide isolated speech therapy services may be insufficient for children with severe speech impairments whose difficulties extend beyond communication to encompass cognitive, psychological, and academic domains. Integrated service delivery requiring coordination among speech therapists, psychologists, educators, and (when indicated) medical specialists appears necessary for optimal outcomes. However, implementing such comprehensive approaches presents challenges including resource allocation, professional training in collaborative practice, and development of communication systems enabling effective teamwork.

The observed age-related differences in intervention response highlight the importance of developmentally appropriate treatment approaches. While younger children showed more rapid speech improvements, likely reflecting greater neural plasticity and fewer years of compensatory pattern establishment, older children brought enhanced metacognitive abilities enabling them to actively participate in strategy-based interventions. These findings suggest that intervention approaches should be tailored not only to diagnostic category but also to developmental level, with younger children receiving play-based, motor-focused therapies and older children benefiting from more cognitively sophisticated strategy instruction.

CONCLUSION

This comprehensive study of 85 children with severe speech impairments has demonstrated that these disorders extend beyond communication difficulties to significantly impact cognitive development, psychological well-being, and academic achievement. Systematic assessment revealed that 73% of participants exhibited delays in at least two mental processes, with memory, attention, and auditory perception showing particular vulnerability. Secondary literacy difficulties including dysgraphia (67% of school-aged children) and dyslexia (59%) were highly prevalent, underscoring the cascading effects of early speech impairments on academic development.

The comparative analysis across diagnostic categories (dysarthria, rhinolalia, stuttering, alalia, and phonetic-phonemic underdevelopment) revealed both shared challenges and disorder-specific profiles. While all groups showed elevated rates of peer relationship difficulties and social-emotional problems, the severity and pattern of cognitive impairments varied systematically. Children with alalia demonstrated the most pervasive difficulties across cognitive domains, while those with stuttering showed relatively preserved cognitive abilities despite significant psychosocial challenges. These findings support the need for individualized, diagnosis-informed intervention approaches rather than generic treatments for "speech disorders."

The documented effectiveness of multidisciplinary intervention, involving coordinated efforts of speech therapists, psychologists, neurologists, and educators, provides empirical support for comprehensive service delivery models. Over the 24-month intervention period, participants showed significant improvements not only in speech intelligibility (mean increase

from 47% to 68%) but also in cognitive functioning (working memory, attention) and social-emotional adjustment. These multifaceted gains underscore that optimal treatment must address the child's complete developmental profile rather than focusing narrowly on speech production.

The findings have important implications for clinical practice, educational policy, and future research. Early identification and comprehensive assessment of children with speech impairments should be prioritized, with systematic evaluation extending beyond speech characteristics to include cognitive, psychological, and academic domains. Intervention services should be structured to facilitate multidisciplinary collaboration, with regular team meetings and coordinated treatment planning. Educational settings should provide appropriate accommodations including extended time for verbal tasks, alternative assessment formats, and proactive literacy support.

Research Implications and Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, several recommendations for clinical practice emerge. First, all children with severe speech impairments should receive comprehensive multidisciplinary assessment evaluating not only speech and language abilities but also cognitive functioning, psychological well-being, and academic skills. This comprehensive profile should inform individualized intervention planning. Second, intervention services should involve coordinated, multidisciplinary teams with regular communication among speech therapists, psychologists, educators, and medical specialists. Third, parent education and involvement should be systematically incorporated into intervention protocols, given the demonstrated impact on outcomes. Fourth, children with severe speech impairments should receive proactive literacy instruction and monitoring beginning in early elementary grades to prevent or minimize secondary dysgraphia and dyslexia.

For educational settings, recommendations include implementation of appropriate accommodations such as extended time for oral responses, alternative assessment formats that do not rely exclusively on verbal expression, preferential seating to optimize auditory access, and explicit social skills instruction to address peer relationship difficulties. Teacher training should emphasize understanding the broader developmental impact of speech impairments and strategies for creating inclusive classroom environments that maximize participation opportunities for children with communication challenges.

Future research should address several important questions. Longitudinal studies following children with severe speech impairments into adolescence and adulthood are needed to understand long-term developmental trajectories and identify factors predicting positive versus negative outcomes. Randomized controlled trials comparing different intervention approaches and service delivery models would strengthen the evidence base for clinical decision-making. Research examining the effectiveness of specific intervention components (e.g., parent training, social skills groups, cognitive training) would enable more efficient allocation of resources to the most impactful elements. Finally, studies including more diverse populations varying in cultural background, linguistic context, and socioeconomic status are essential for establishing the generalizability of findings and developing culturally appropriate assessment and intervention approaches.

Study Limitations

Several limitations of this study warrant acknowledgment. First, the absence of a control group limits conclusions about the developmental trajectories that would have occurred without intervention. Future research employing randomized controlled designs would strengthen causal inferences about intervention effectiveness. Second, the sample was drawn from clinical settings in one geographic region of Uzbekistan, potentially limiting generalizability to other populations and service delivery contexts. Third, while multiple assessment instruments were employed, some measures were adapted from Western standardized tests rather than instruments developed specifically for Uzbek-speaking populations, potentially affecting validity. Fourth, the 24-month study period, while substantial, may not be sufficient to evaluate long-term outcomes, particularly regarding academic achievement and social-emotional development. Finally, the study did not include neuroimaging or detailed neurophysiological assessment, limiting conclusions about underlying brain mechanisms. Despite these limitations, the study provides valuable empirical evidence regarding the multifaceted developmental impact of severe speech impairments and the potential of comprehensive, multidisciplinary intervention approaches.

REFERENCES

Bessell, A., Sell, D., & Whiting, P. (2013). Speech and language therapy interventions for children with cleft palate: A systematic review. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 50(1), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1597/11-138

Black, L. I., Vahratian, A., & Hoffman, H. J. (2015). Communication disorders and use of intervention services among children aged 3–17 years: United States, 2012. NCHS Data Brief, No. 205. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Fox, C. M., Boliek, C. A., & LSVT Research Group. (2011). Intensive voice treatment (LSVT LOUD) for children with spastic cerebral palsy and dysarthria. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 55(3), 930-945. https://doi.org/10.1044/1092-4388(2011/10-0235)

Guitar, B. (2019). Stuttering: An integrated approach to its nature and treatment (5th ed.). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Luria, A. R. (1973). The working brain: An introduction to neuropsychology. New York: Basic Books.

Mitchell, C., Bowen, A., Tyson, S., Butterfint, Z., & Conroy, P. (2017). Interventions for dysarthria due to stroke and other adult-acquired, non-progressive brain injury. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 1, CD002088. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002088.pub3

Nordberg, A., Miniscalco, C., & Lohmander, A. (2013). Consonant production and overall speech intelligibility in school-aged children with cerebral palsy and speech impairment. International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 16(4), 386-395. https://doi.org/10.3109/17549507.2013.808699

Pennington, L., Miller, N., & Robson, S. (2009). Speech therapy for children with dysarthria acquired before three years of age. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 4, CD006937. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006937.pub2

Pennington, L., Miller, N., Robson, S., & Steen, N. (2010). Intensive speech and language therapy for older children with cerebral palsy: A systems approach. Developmental

Medicine and Child Neurology, 52(4), 337-344. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8749.2009.03366.x

Pennington, L., Roelant, E., Thompson, V., Robson, S., Steen, N., & Miller, N. (2013). Intensive dysarthria therapy for younger children with cerebral palsy. Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology, 55(5), 464-471. https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.12098

Pennington, L., Parker, N. K., Kelly, H., & Miller, N. (2016). Speech therapy for children with dysarthria acquired before three years of age. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 7, CD006937. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006937.pub3

Pennington, L., Lombardo, E., Steen, N., & Miller, N. (2018). Acoustic changes in the speech of children with cerebral palsy following an intensive program of dysarthria therapy. International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders, 53(1), 182-195. https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12336

Traugott, N. N., & Kaidanova, S. I. (1975). Hearing impairment in sensory alalia and aphasia. Leningrad: Nauka. [In Russian]

Van Riper, C. (1982). The treatment of stuttering. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1962). Thought and language. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Ward, R., Strauss, G., & Leitão, S. (2013). Kinematic changes in jaw and lip control of children with cerebral palsy following participation in a motor-speech (PROMPT) intervention. International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 15(2), 136-155. https://doi.org/10.3109/17549507.2012.713395

Артемова, Л. З., & Филичева, Т. Б. (2019). Логопедия: диагностика и коррекция речевых нарушений у детей [Speech therapy: Diagnosis and correction of speech disorders in children]. Москва: Владос.

Власова, Т. А., & Поваляева, М. В. (2020). Современные подходы к коррекции заикания у детей и взрослых [Modern approaches to stuttering correction in children and adults]. Санкт-Петербург: Речь.

Ясиновский, М. А. (2021). Неврология речи и заикания: современные исследования и методики коррекции [Neurology of speech and stuttering: Modern research and correction methods]. Москва: Академия.

Levy, E. S., Ramig, L. O., & Camarata, S. (2012). The effects of two speech interventions on speech function in pediatric dysarthria. Journal of Medical Speech-Language Pathology, 20(4), 82-87.

Morgan, A. T., & Vogel, A. P. (2008). Intervention for childhood apraxia of speech. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 3, CD006278. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006278.pub2

Skinder-Meredith, A. (2009). Assessment and treatment of pediatric dysarthria. Seminars in Speech and Language, 30(2), 117-124. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1216348

Strand, E. A., & McCauley, R. J. (2019). Dynamic Temporal and Tactile Cueing: A treatment strategy for childhood apraxia of speech. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 28(3), 1100-1115. https://doi.org/10.1044/2019_AJSLP-18-0265

Whillans, C., Hitchcock, A., & Gough, C. (2018). Group therapy for people with dysarthria following stroke. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 6, CD012330. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012330.pub2