

1. adeoye 61 – 86.docx

by Pusmedia Publisher

Submission date: 26-May-2025 07:01PM (UTC-0700)

Submission ID: 2588403917

File name: 1_adeoye_61_86.docx (91.2K)

Word count: 10459

Character count: 70755



21st Century Leadership as a Catalyst for Sustainable Reform: Transformative Practices in Digital Education

Moses Adeleke Adeoye^{1a}, Oyeronke Christiana Paramole² Rasheedat Modupe
Oladimeji³

^{1,2,3} Al-Hikmah University Ilorin, Nigeria

Corresponding Email^{1a}: princeadeleke@gmail.com

Submitted : 2024-04-04 : Accepted: 2024-05-10 : Published: 2024-05-22

Abstract

In the rapidly evolving landscape of education, integrating digital technologies is essential for enhancing teaching and learning outcomes. However, successful implementation relies heavily on effective leadership. This research investigates the role of leadership, particularly transformational leadership, in facilitating digital integration within educational contexts. Employing a qualitative research approach, the study engaged school leaders – specifically principals and vice principals – from 30 institutions. The methodology utilised a thought experimental scenarios approach alongside a relevant literature review to gather insights into the experiences and perceptions of these leaders regarding leadership practices and change management processes. The findings reveal that transformational leadership significantly influences the successful adoption of digital technologies by fostering a culture of collaboration and innovation. Leaders who embody transformational qualities, such as inspiration and individualised support, effectively engage educators and promote a shared vision for digital integration. Additionally, the research highlights the importance of structured change management processes in guiding the implementation of digital initiatives, ensuring stakeholder engagement and continuous evaluation. In conclusion, this study underscores the critical role of leadership in navigating the complexities of digital integration in education. It contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing a comprehensive theoretical framework that integrates transformational leadership, change management, and constructivist learning theories. The insights gained from this research offer practical recommendations for educational leaders, emphasising the need for ongoing professional development and stakeholder collaboration to sustain successful digital transformation in academic settings.

Keywords: Transformational Leadership, Digital Integration, Change Management, Educational Leadership, Collaborative Learning

INTRODUCTION

Rapid technological advancements have significantly transformed the education landscape in recent years. Integrating digital tools in teaching and learning has become increasingly essential, reshaping traditional educational practices and necessitating new approaches to leadership. As schools strive to enhance student engagement and improve academic outcomes through technology, the role of school leaders has evolved to encompass administrative responsibilities and the facilitation of digital integration (Roth & Price, 2015). Transformative leadership, characterised by its focus on collaboration, innovation, and shared vision, has emerged as a vital framework for guiding schools through these transitions. According to Lastrado and Kassem (2021), transformative leaders inspire and motivate their staff, fostering a culture of continuous improvement crucial for effective change implementation. This leadership style is particularly relevant in digital education, where leaders must navigate the complexities of integrating technology while addressing the diverse needs of educators and students. The urgency for effective leadership in this domain has been amplified by recent global challenges, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced an abrupt shift to remote learning and highlighted the critical need for adaptive leadership practices (Al-Qashouti, 2024). As educational institutions grapple with these changes, understanding how transformative leadership practices can facilitate the successful integration of digital tools has become paramount.

The rationale for this study is grounded in the recognition that while much research has been conducted on digital education and pedagogical strategies, there is a notable gap in the literature concerning the specific leadership practices that underpin successful technology integration. Existing studies have frequently focused on the technical aspects of digital tools or have examined the challenges educators face without adequately addressing the role of leadership in these processes (Amhag et al., 2019). This study fills this gap and provides actionable insights for school leaders by exploring how transformational leadership can enhance the effective integration of digital tools. Understanding the interplay between leadership and technology integration is essential for developing strategies that facilitate the adoption of new tools and foster a supportive environment where educators feel empowered to innovate. Moreover, this research is timely as educational institutions increasingly seek to harness technology to improve teaching and learning outcomes. Guldaz (2024), the effective use of technology in education requires access to tools and a supportive leadership framework that encourages collaboration, experimentation, and professional growth. By investigating the critical factors that influence school leaders' ability to adapt to digital transformations, this study contributes to the body of knowledge that informs leadership practices in the digital age.

Statement of the Problem

Integrating digital technologies in education has become a critical focus for educators and policy-makers worldwide. However, despite the increasing investment in digital tools and resources, many schools face significant challenges in effectively implementing these technologies in pedagogical practices. One of the primary issues is

the disparity in access to digital resources among students. According to a report by the European Commission, the COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated existing inequalities, with many students lacking the necessary devices and internet connectivity to participate in online learning effectively (Cullinan et al., 2021; Lorente et al., 2020). This situation has led to significant learning losses, particularly among disadvantaged groups, highlighting the urgent need for inclusive digital education strategies.

Another critical problem is the lack of adequate training and professional development for educators in using digital tools effectively. Research indicates that many teachers feel unprepared to integrate technology into their teaching practices, which can hinder the successful implementation of digital education initiatives (Aditya, 2021). Henriksen et al. (2019) found that only 29% of teachers reported feeling confident using technology for instructional purposes. This lack of confidence can lead to underutilising available digital resources, ultimately impacting student learning outcomes. There is also a gap in understanding which pedagogical strategies are most effective for integrating digital technologies into the classroom. While various approaches, such as problem/project-based learning (PBL) and cooperative learning (CL), have been identified as beneficial, there is a need for more empirical evidence to guide educators in their implementation. Erzain et al. (2024) highlighted that while 94% of researchers rated specific pedagogical strategies as effective, the practical application of these methods in diverse educational contexts remains underexplored. Resistance to change is a significant barrier to successfully integrating digital technologies in education. Educators may hesitate to adopt new tools and methods due to a lack of familiarity or fear of the unknown. This resistance can be compounded by a lack of institutional support and a culture that does not prioritise innovation. Research has shown that effective leadership is crucial in overcoming these barriers and fostering a culture of collaboration and experimentation among educators (Ming, 2024). Finally, there is a pressing need for comprehensive frameworks that can guide schools in their digital transformation efforts. Current literature often lacks cohesive models that address the multifaceted nature of technology integration, including leadership, teacher training, and student engagement. Developing such frameworks is essential for ensuring that digital education initiatives are sustainable and effective in improving educational outcomes. By investigating these problems, the research contributes valuable insights that can inform policy and practice in digital education.

Research Questions

1. How can transformative leadership practices facilitate the effective integration of digital tools in schools?
2. What critical factors influence school leaders' ability to adapt to digital transformations?
3. In what ways does digital education reshape traditional leadership roles and responsibilities?

Hypothetical Scenarios

Scenario 1: Integrating Digital Tools in Classroom Instruction

Context: A school leader is tasked with implementing a new digital learning platform to enhance student engagement and achievement.

Hypothetical Situation: The leader must decide how to introduce the platform to teachers and provide training, resources, and ongoing support.

Questions to Consider:

- What leadership practices would be most effective in encouraging teacher buy-in?
- How can the leader address potential resistance from staff?
- What metrics should be used to evaluate the success of the integration?

Scenario 2: Navigating Change During a Crisis

Context: A sudden shift to remote learning due to a public health crisis requires immediate adaptation from school leadership.

Hypothetical Situation: The leader must rapidly develop a plan to ensure continuity of education while maintaining staff morale and student engagement.

Questions to Consider:

- How can transformational leadership principles be applied in this high-pressure environment?
- How can the leader communicate effectively with teachers, students, and parents?
- How can the leader foster a collaborative culture during this transition?

Scenario 3: Fostering a Culture of Innovation

Context: School transition to a more innovative educational model that leverages technology for personalised learning.

Hypothetical Situation: The school leader must create an environment encouraging staff experimentation and risk-taking.

Questions to Consider:

- What policies or practices can the leader implement to promote a culture of innovation?
- How can the leader support teachers in developing new instructional methods using technology?
- What role does professional development play in this transformation?

Scenario 4: Evaluating Leadership Effectiveness in Digital Education

21

Context: After implementing various digital tools, a school leader wants to assess the impact of their leadership on the success of these initiatives.

Hypothetical Situation: The leader must gather feedback from teachers, students, and parents to evaluate the effectiveness of their strategies.

Questions to Consider:

- What evaluation methods can be employed to assess leadership impact?
- How can the leader use feedback to inform future decisions and improvements?
- What are the indicators of successful leadership in the context of digital education?

This study presents a novel investigation into the intersection of transformative leadership and digital education, focusing specifically on how leadership practices can effectively facilitate technology integration in schools. While existing literature has explored leadership theories and the use of digital tools in education separately, this research uniquely combines these domains to examine their interplay in contemporary educational settings. The incorporation of thought experiments as a methodological approach is also innovative. The study provides a platform for participants to engage in critical reflection and discussion by utilising hypothetical scenarios that reflect real-world challenges school leaders face. This methodology allows for a deeper exploration of leadership practices and their implications in a rapidly evolving digital landscape, offering practical and theoretical insights.

The urgency of this research is underscored by the rapid technological advancements and the increasing reliance on digital tools in education, especially in light of recent global events, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. These developments have necessitated immediate adaptations in educational practices and leadership strategies. As schools worldwide continue to navigate the challenges posed by digital integration, it is imperative to understand how transformative leadership can support these efforts. Furthermore, the ongoing shifts in educational paradigms—from traditional classroom settings to more flexible, technology-driven environments—highlight the need for effective leadership. This timely research provides actionable insights that can assist school leaders in developing strategies that promote successful technology integration, enhance teaching and learning, and ultimately improve student outcomes.

The significance of this study lies in its potential contributions to both academic literature and practical applications in educational leadership. This research enriches the existing body of knowledge by bridging the gap between transformative leadership and digital education. It comprehensively explains how leadership practices can evolve in response to technological advancements, informing future research and theory development in educational leadership. The findings from this study provide school leaders with evidence-based strategies and insights into effective practices for integrating digital tools. By understanding the critical factors that influence their adaptability and the impact of their leadership on technology integration, leaders can make informed decisions that foster a culture of innovation and collaboration within their schools. The research findings may also have implications for educational policy-makers. The study can inform policy development to support school leaders in effectively implementing technology by highlighting the importance of transformative leadership in digital education. This can lead to the establishment of initiatives that promote professional development, resource allocation, and collaborative practices among educational stakeholders. By addressing the challenges and opportunities presented by digital integration, this study contributes valuable insights that can enhance the effectiveness of school leaders in navigating the complexities of modern education.

Literature Review

The Concept of Leadership in the 21st Century

The concept of leadership has evolved significantly in the 21st century, influenced by rapid technological advancements, shifting societal expectations, and a growing emphasis on collaboration and inclusivity. The key themes and theories define contemporary leadership practices, particularly in educational settings. One of the most prominent leadership theories relevant to the 21st century is transformative leadership. According to Burns, transformative leadership is characterised by the ability to inspire and motivate followers to achieve a shared vision, fostering an environment of collaboration and innovation. This type of leadership is particularly pertinent in educational contexts, where leaders are expected to navigate complex challenges and drive meaningful change. Caldwell et al. (2023) emphasise that transformative leaders focus on individual development and create a culture of continuous improvement within their organisations. In digital education, this means fostering a shared vision for technology integration that empowers educators and engages students. Transformative leadership is essential for cultivating an environment where innovation can thrive, enabling schools to adapt to the demands of a rapidly changing world (Tsarkos, 2024). The concept of distributed leadership has gained traction in recent years as a response to the complexities of modern educational environments. Voegtlin et al. (2012) posit that leadership is a collective process that involves multiple stakeholders rather than a function of a single individual. This perspective recognises that effective leadership is distributed among teachers, administrators, and students, fostering a sense of shared responsibility and collaboration.

In the context of digital education, distributed leadership allows for a more democratic approach to decision-making and implementation. Educators who feel empowered to contribute to the leadership process are likelier to engage with technology and innovate their teaching practices. This collaborative approach aligns with the needs of 21st-century learners, who require flexible and adaptive learning environments. Servant leadership, as articulated by Greenleaf (1970), shifts the focus from traditional notions of power and authority to a model centred on serving others. This leadership style emphasises the importance of empathy, listening, and commitment to the growth of individuals and the community. In educational settings, servant leaders prioritise the needs of teachers and students, creating an inclusive environment that fosters trust and collaboration. Research by Spear (2002) highlights the relevance of servant leadership in the 21st century, particularly in fostering a supportive culture that encourages risk-taking and innovation. In digital education, servant leaders can help facilitate technology integration by providing the necessary support and resources, enabling educators to explore new teaching methods and enhance student engagement. Adaptive leadership, introduced by Heifetz and Laurie, is particularly relevant in rapid change and uncertainty (Chaudhry, 2024). Adaptive leaders can navigate complex challenges by encouraging organisations to embrace change and develop new strategies. This leadership style is crucial in digital transformation, where educators must continually adapt to new technologies and pedagogical approaches.

Gallagher (2023) emphasises that adaptive leadership requires leaders to create a safe space for experimentation and learning, allowing their teams to confront challenges head-on. This approach aligns well with the needs of 21st-century education, where agility and responsiveness are essential for success. Technology integration into leadership practices has transformed how leaders interact with their teams and make decisions. Digital tools facilitate communication, collaboration, and data analysis, enabling leaders to make informed decisions and foster a culture of transparency (Chukwurah et al., 2024). According to Pawar and Dhumal (2024), technology has the potential to enhance leadership effectiveness by providing real-time feedback and streamlining processes. However, successfully integrating technology into leadership requires a clear understanding of its implications. Leaders must be equipped to navigate the challenges associated with technology, such as ensuring equitable access and addressing concerns related to privacy and security. Crowley (2022) noted that effective leadership in the 21st century necessitates balancing leveraging technology for improvement while remaining mindful of its potential drawbacks. As educational leaders navigate the complexities of digital integration, understanding these leadership frameworks is essential for fostering environments that support innovation and enhance student outcomes. By embracing these contemporary leadership concepts, school leaders can effectively guide their institutions through the challenges of the digital age.

Transformative Leadership Theory

Transformative Leadership Theory has emerged as a significant framework for understanding leadership dynamics within educational contexts, particularly in the 21st century (Sharma & Adenye, 2024). This theory emphasises the role of leaders in inspiring and motivating their followers to achieve common goals, fostering a culture of innovation, collaboration, and continuous improvement—the key principles, characteristics, and implications of Transformative Leadership Theory, drawing on various scholarly contributions. Burns first introduced the concept, highlighting that transformative leaders engage their followers by raising their awareness of the importance of their tasks and the significance of achieving collective goals (Siangchokyo et al., 2020). This leadership approach is centred around three core principles:

1. **Vision:** Transformative leaders articulate a clear and compelling vision that aligns with the values and aspirations of their followers (Bashori et al., 2022). This vision acts as a guiding force, motivating individuals to work collaboratively toward shared objectives.
2. **Empowerment:** Transformative leaders empower their followers by fostering an environment of trust and mutual respect. This empowerment encourages individuals to take ownership of their roles and contributes to a sense of agency (Shelton, 2012).
3. **Collaboration:** Collaboration is a cornerstone of transformative leadership, as leaders actively involve their followers in decision-making. Leaders can leverage diverse perspectives and expertise by promoting collaborative practices and enhancing problem-solving and innovation (Nelson & Squires, 2017).

Transformative leaders exhibit several key characteristics that differentiate them from traditional leaders:

1. **Inspirational Motivation:** Transformative leaders inspire and motivate their followers through a shared vision and enthusiasm for change. They communicate effectively, using storytelling and emotional appeals to engage their audience (Denning, 2011).
2. **Intellectual Stimulation:** These leaders encourage creativity and critical thinking among their followers by challenging assumptions and promoting innovative approaches to problem-solving (Hughes et al., 2018). This intellectual stimulation is crucial in educational settings, where adaptability and responsiveness to change are essential.
3. **Individualised Consideration:** Transformative leaders demonstrate genuine concern for their followers' individual needs and aspirations. They provide personalised support and mentorship, recognising each team member's strengths and challenges (Pawar, 2016).

In educational contexts, transformative leadership has significantly impacted school culture, teacher efficacy, and student outcomes. Roberg and Bourgeois (2016) indicate that schools led by transformative leaders experience higher levels of teacher satisfaction and engagement, positively affecting student learning. Shields (2017) further emphasises the importance of transformative leadership in driving educational reform. Shields argues that leaders must focus on implementing new practices and creating a sustainable culture of change within their institutions. This involves fostering a collaborative environment where teachers are encouraged to share best practices and learn from one another. Despite its strengths, Transformative Leadership Theory is not without challenges. One critique is that implementing transformative leadership practices can be complex in hierarchical educational systems, where traditional power dynamics may hinder collaboration and innovation (Sharma & Adeyeye, 2024). The emphasis on individual empowerment can sometimes overlook the systemic factors contributing to educational inequities (Vossoughi et al., 2016). Furthermore, empirical studies have indicated that while transformative leadership can lead to positive outcomes, its effectiveness may vary based on contextual factors such as school culture, community involvement, and available resources (Day et al., 2016). As such, leaders must adapt their approaches to fit their educational environments' unique needs and circumstances. As educational landscapes continue to evolve, there is a growing need for further research on transformative leadership, particularly in digital education. Al-Husseini et al. (2021) suggest that understanding how transformative leaders can effectively integrate technology into their practices fosters innovation and improves student outcomes. Despite the challenges associated with its implementation, the principles of transformative leadership remain essential for navigating the complexities of modern education, particularly in an era marked by rapid technological advancement and shifting societal expectations.

Sustainable Reform in Education

Sustainable reform in education refers to the ongoing processes and strategies for improving educational systems while ensuring that changes are lasting, equitable, and responsive to the needs of all stakeholders. The key principles, characteristics, and implications of sustainable reform, drawing on foundational literature and contemporary research. Sustainable reform in education is characterised by its focus on long-term improvements rather than temporary fixes. According to Agbedahun (2019), sustainable reform entails systemic changes that address the root causes of educational challenges, promoting practices that can be maintained over time without constant external support. Sustainable reforms must prioritise equity, ensuring all students have access to quality education regardless of their socio-economic background (Walker et al., 2019). This principle emphasises the importance of addressing disparities in resources, support, and opportunities. Effective reform requires collaboration among various stakeholders, including teachers, administrators, parents, and community members. Collaboration fosters shared ownership and responsibility for educational outcomes (Gbolle & Gong, 2018). Sustainable reform focuses on building the capacity of educators and institutions to implement and sustain changes. This involves professional development, mentorship, and establishing supportive networks that empower educators to adapt to new practices (Boyton, 2018).

Despite the challenges, several successful sustainable reforms illustrate the potential for meaningful educational change. For instance, the Success for All program, which focuses on early literacy and collaborative professional development, has significantly improved student achievement over time (Porche et al., 2012). Additionally, initiatives like the Coalition of Essential Schools advocate for personalised learning and smaller class sizes, promoting a more sustainable approach to education reform (Roberts-Mahoney et al., 2016). Emphasis should be placed on examining how technology can facilitate sustainable reform by enhancing collaboration, providing access to resources, and supporting personalised learning experiences. Furthermore, studies investigating the role of community engagement in sustaining educational reform efforts are vital for developing holistic approaches to improvement (Gericke & Torbjörnsson, 2022). By addressing the challenges inherent in the reform process and leveraging successful models, educational leaders can foster lasting enhancements that benefit all students. As the educational landscape evolves, a focus on sustainable reform is essential for creating resilient and adaptive learning environments.

Digital Education: Trends and Challenges

Digital education has transformed the teaching and learning landscape, driven by technological advancements and changing societal needs. Current digital education trends examine the challenges educators and institutions face and highlight the implications for future educational practices. Digital education encompasses various technologies and pedagogical approaches to enhance the learning experience.

Blended learning integrates traditional face-to-face instruction with online learning components, offering a flexible approach to education. According to Al-Azawei et al. (2017), this model allows for personalised learning experiences and accommodates

diverse learning styles. Han (2024) indicates blended learning can enhance student engagement and improve academic outcomes. The shift towards personalised learning emphasises tailoring educational experiences to meet individual student needs. With data analytics and adaptive learning technologies, educators can create customised learning paths that cater to students' strengths, weaknesses, and interests (Moyraudi et al., 2019). This trend has been supported by findings from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, which highlight the positive impact of personalised learning on student achievement (Boninger et al., 2020). The growth of online and distance education has expanded access to learning opportunities for diverse learners. Altbach et al. (2019), online enrollment in higher education has consistently increased over the past decade, reflecting the demand for flexible learning options. This trend has been further accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, which necessitated a rapid shift to remote learning (Mishra et al., 2021). Mobile learning, facilitated by smartphones and tablets, has transformed how students access educational content. West (2013) states that mobile technologies allow for learning anytime and anywhere, promoting greater engagement and accessibility. Viberg et al. (2021) emphasise the potential of mobile learning to support informal and collaborative learning experiences.

Despite the promising digital education trends, several challenges hinder its effective implementation. One of the most pressing challenges in digital education is the issue of equity and access to technology. Curtis et al. (2022) state that significant disparities exist in access to high-speed internet and digital devices, particularly among low-income families and rural communities. This digital divide exacerbates educational inequalities and limits opportunities for marginalised students (Arsionya & Nhadr, 2021). The effectiveness of digital education relies heavily on educators' and students' digital literacy skills. Educators report feeling unprepared to integrate technology into their teaching practices, which can lead to underutilisation of available resources (Oshowole, 2024). Furthermore, students must develop critical digital literacy skills to navigate online learning environments effectively (Wei, 2023). The rapid proliferation of online educational resources raises concerns about the quality and effectiveness of digital content. Clark and Mayer (2023) have shown that not all online materials are designed with pedagogical principles in mind, which can lead to ineffective learning experiences. Educators must be equipped to evaluate and select high-quality digital resources that align with their instructional goals. Resistance to change among educators and institutions can impede the successful integration of digital education. Teachers may feel overwhelmed by the pace of technological change or sceptical about the benefits of digital tools (Scully et al., 2021). Effective leadership is essential to address these concerns and foster a culture of innovation and experimentation (Davis, 2024).

To address the challenges of digital education, several implications emerge for educators and policy-makers. Ongoing professional development is critical for equipping educators with the necessary skills and confidence to integrate technology effectively into their teaching practices. Anderson and Stillman (2013) emphasise the need for tailored training programs that address specific needs and challenges faced by educators. Policy-makers must prioritise initiatives that ensure equitable access to technology and high-speed internet for all students. This includes investments in infrastructure, subsidies for

low-income families, and community partnerships to support access (Huang & Taylor, 2019). Educational institutions should prioritise the development of digital literacy skills for both students and educators. Incorporating digital literacy into the curriculum can help students become proficient and critical consumers of digital content (Polizzi, 2020). Building collaborative networks among educators, parents, and community organisations can foster a supportive environment for digital education. Engaging stakeholders in the reform process can help ensure that digital initiatives meet the diverse needs of learners (Brunetti et al., 2020). By fostering a supportive and inclusive environment, educators and policy-makers can harness the potential of digital education to improve outcomes for all students.

The Role of Leadership in Digital Integration

Integrating digital technologies in education is not merely a technical shift; it requires transformative leadership to guide institutions through the complexities of change. The critical role of leadership in facilitating digital integration is exploring the necessary competencies, strategies, and challenges that leaders face in this process. Effective leaders in the context of digital integration must possess a set of competencies that enable them to navigate the evolving educational landscape. Transformative leadership involves the implementation of strategic approaches that facilitate digital integration. Ongoing professional development is essential for equipping educators with the skills and confidence to integrate technology into their teaching practices. Caena and Redecier (2019) emphasise the need for targeted training that addresses educators' specific challenges in using digital tools effectively. Leaders should promote a continuous learning culture, allowing teachers to collaborate and share best practices. Leaders play a crucial role in fostering a supportive environment that encourages experimentation and innovation. According to Rukko et al. (2021), leaders must cultivate a climate of trust where educators feel safe to take risks and explore new teaching methods. This involves providing teachers with adequate resources, time, and support to implement digital tools effectively. Engaging all stakeholders—teachers, students, parents, and the community—is vital for successful digital integration. Pluchinotta et al. (2022) highlight the importance of involving stakeholders in the decision-making process, ensuring their needs and perspectives are considered. Leaders can facilitate this engagement through regular communication, feedback mechanisms, and collaborative initiatives.

Despite the critical role of leadership in digital integration, several challenges can impede effective implementation. One of the primary challenges leaders face is resistance to change among educators. Teachers may be reluctant to adopt new technologies due to fear of the unknown or lacking confidence in their abilities (Buaheng-tsoh, 2012). Leaders must address these concerns by providing ongoing support and resources and fostering a culture that values innovation and experimentation. Educational institutions often face constraints in funding, infrastructure, and access to technology. According to Demirebilek (2024), these limitations can hinder the successful integration of digital tools. Leaders must advocate for adequate resources and seek partnerships to enhance access to technology and support systems. Educational leaders must navigate the tension between accountability measures and the need for innovation. Standardised testing and

rigid accountability frameworks can create pressures that limit educators' ability to explore new methods (An, 2022). Leaders should strive to create a balanced approach that encourages innovation while meeting accountability requirements.

Research has shown that effective leadership significantly influences the success of digital integration efforts. Boberg and Bourgeois (2016) found that schools with strong transformational leadership demonstrated higher teacher engagement and student achievement levels. Furthermore, Rikkerink et al. (2016) emphasise that leaders who actively support and promote digital integration can enhance the overall educational experience by fostering a culture of collaboration, innovation, and continuous improvement. As digital integration continues to evolve, future research should focus on identifying best practices for leadership in this context. Exploring how leaders can leverage emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and data analytics, to inform decision-making and enhance teaching and learning was crucial. Additionally, examining the role of distributed leadership in digital integration can provide insights into how collaborative practices can be further developed in educational settings (Nadeem, 2024). As the digital education landscape continues to evolve, strong and adaptive leadership is essential for guiding institutions through these changes and ensuring equitable access to quality education for all students.

Despite the extensive exploration of transformative leadership, sustainable reform, and digital education, several gaps in the existing literature warrant further investigation. Identifying these gaps is crucial for informing future research and practice in education. While various leadership models, such as transformative and distributed leadership, have been discussed individually, there is a lack of comprehensive studies that explore how these styles can be integrated to enhance digital education initiatives. Research could investigate the synergies between these leadership approaches and their collective impact on fostering a culture of innovation and collaboration in educational settings. Most existing studies focus on short-term outcomes of digital integration, such as immediate improvements in student engagement or academic performance. There is a need for longitudinal research that examines the long-term effects of digital integration on educational practices, student learning, and overall school culture. Understanding how these changes evolve provides valuable insights into digital education initiatives' sustainability. Current literature often overlooks the influence of contextual factors—such as socio-economic status, community involvement, and institutional culture—on the effectiveness of leadership in digital integration. Further research is needed to explore how these contextual elements shape leadership practices and outcomes, providing a more nuanced understanding of the dynamic relationship between leadership and digital education.

Theoretical Framework

Theoretical perspectives provide essential frameworks for understanding complex phenomena within education, particularly in the context of leadership, digital integration, and sustainable reform. The key theoretical perspectives that inform the study of leadership in digital education highlight their relevance and contributions to the field.

Transformative Leadership Theory

Transformative Leadership Theory, first articulated by Burns, emphasises inspiring and motivating followers to achieve shared goals. In education, transformative leaders foster a collaborative culture that empowers teachers and students to innovate and improve learning outcomes. This theory is particularly relevant for understanding how leaders can navigate the complexities of digital integration, as it highlights the importance of vision, communication, and support in driving change. Sharma and Adeoye (2024) and Shields (2017) further assert that transformative leadership is essential for creating sustainable reform in educational institutions, making it a foundational perspective for this research. Distributed Leadership Theory posits that leadership is a collective process involving multiple stakeholders rather than being confined to a single individual (Ho & Ng, 2017). This perspective is crucial in educational settings, where effective digital integration requires collaboration among teachers, administrators, and the community. By examining how leadership is enacted across various roles, this theory provides insights into the dynamics of shared responsibility and collective efficacy in implementing digital initiatives. Mifsud (2024) supports the notion that distributed leadership enhances organisational capacity and fosters a culture of innovation, making it a valuable lens for exploring leadership in digital education.

Change Theory, particularly as described by Kotter in 1996, outlines a structured approach to implementing change in organisations (AlMamel et al., 2010). This theory consists of an eight-step process that includes creating urgency, building coalitions, and anchoring new cultural approaches. In digital integration, Change Theory provides a roadmap for educational leaders to effectively manage the transition to new technologies and practices (McCarthy et al., 2023). By applying this theoretical framework, the research can identify specific strategies leaders can employ to facilitate successful digital integration and overcome resistance to change. Constructivist Learning Theory, rooted in the works of Piaget and Vygotsky, emphasises the importance of active learning and social interaction in constructing knowledge (Zajda & Zajda, 2021). This perspective is particularly relevant for understanding how digital tools can enhance student engagement and collaboration. In digital education, constructivism supports the idea that learners benefit from interactive and meaningful experiences facilitated by technology. Integrating this theory into the research can explore how leadership practices promote constructivist approaches to teaching and learning in digital environments.

Systems Theory provides a holistic framework for understanding the interconnectedness of various components within educational organisations. This perspective emphasises that changes in one area—such as leadership, technology, or curriculum—can have ripple effects throughout the system (Cabrera & Cabrera, 2023). In digital integration, systems theory encourages a comprehensive approach considering the relationships among stakeholders, processes, and resources. By applying this framework, the research can examine how effective leadership can facilitate coordinated efforts across multiple domains to achieve successful digital transformation. Social Learning Theory, developed by Bandura in 1977, posits that learning occurs through observation, imitation, and modelling (Thomas et al., 2016). This theory is especially relevant for understanding the role of leadership in shaping organisational culture and practices.

Leaders who model effective use of technology and collaborative practices can influence teachers' and students' behaviours and attitudes toward digital integration. By incorporating this perspective, the research can investigate how leadership practices impact the adoption and implementation of digital tools in educational settings. These perspectives guide the analysis and interpretation of findings, ultimately contributing to a deeper understanding of how effective leadership can facilitate meaningful and lasting change in educational contexts.

Transformational Leadership as a Catalyst for Change

Transformational leadership acts as a catalyst for change by fostering an environment conducive to innovation and collaboration. The following aspects highlight how TFL facilitates this process: Transformational leaders play a crucial role in shaping organisational culture by promoting cooperation, trust, and shared responsibility. Noroozi et al. (2024) state that a positive organisational culture is essential for successful change initiatives, as it encourages stakeholders to embrace new practices and technologies. By empowering followers through individualised consideration and support, transformational leaders enable them to take ownership of their roles and contribute to the organisation's goals. This empowerment increases job satisfaction and motivation, which are critical for sustaining change efforts (Choi et al., 2016). Transformational leaders stimulate innovation by fostering an environment where creativity is encouraged and valued. By promoting intellectual stimulation, leaders challenge their followers to think outside the box and develop innovative solutions to complex problems. This approach is particularly relevant in educational settings, where the integration of digital technologies requires new pedagogical strategies (Schmitz et al., 2023). Transformational leadership emphasises the importance of collaboration among stakeholders. Leaders can create networks that facilitate sharing ideas and resources by fostering strong relationships and open communication. This collaborative approach is essential for successful digital integration, as it allows for pooling expertise and support from various stakeholders (Brunetti et al., 2020). Research has shown that transformational leadership positively impacts educational outcomes by enhancing teacher effectiveness and student achievement (Li & Liu, 2022). For instance, Allen et al. (2015) found that schools led by transformational leaders experienced higher levels of teacher engagement and improved student performance. This evidence underscores the importance of TFL as a catalyst for meaningful change in educational contexts. This theoretical framework highlights the critical role of leadership in driving successful digital integration and sustainable reform, ultimately leading to improved outcomes for educators and students.

Research Method

A thought experimental approach was employed to explore those research questions. This methodology involves creating hypothetical scenarios that simulate real-world challenges faced by school leaders in the context of digital education. The scenarios allow participants to engage in reflective thinking and discussion, providing insights into

their perspectives on leadership practices and technology integration. Scenario Examples:

1. **Integrating Digital Tools in Classroom Instruction:** This scenario focuses on a school leader tasked with implementing a new digital learning platform to enhance student engagement.
2. **Navigating Change During a Crisis:** This scenario presents a sudden shift to remote learning that requires immediate adaptation from school leadership.
3. **Fostering a Culture of Innovation:** This scenario involves a school transition to a more innovative educational model leveraging technology for personalised learning.
4. **Evaluating Leadership Effectiveness in Digital Education:** This scenario explores how a school leader assesses the impact of their leadership on the success of digital initiatives.

An extensive literature review was conducted to provide a theoretical and contextual foundation for the research. This review encompasses relevant literature on transformative leadership, educational change management, and integrating digital tools in teaching and learning. The literature review informed the scenario development process, ensuring the hypothetical situations reflect current challenges and best practices in the field. The review focuses on themes related to transformative leadership, sustainable reform, digital education, and the evolving roles of school leaders. A critical analysis of existing studies was conducted to identify gaps in the literature and establish a rationale for the research. The findings from the literature were synthesised to inform the development of scenarios and guide discussions during the thought experiments. Participants for this study were selected based on their roles and experiences as school leaders, including principals, assistant principals, and district-level administrators. The study employed a purposive sampling method to ensure participants have relevant experience implementing technology in educational settings. A total of 30 respondents were recruited for the research. This sample size is sufficient to provide diverse perspectives and insights into the research questions while allowing in-depth discussions during the thought experiments. Invitations were sent to members of educational leadership associations and networks, encouraging participation from leaders across various school districts. Collaborations with academic organisations, such as local school boards and educational technology groups, facilitate outreach to potential participants. Announcement posts were shared on social media platforms like LinkedIn and Twitter, targeting school leaders interested in digital education and leadership development. Personalised emails were sent to administrators in selected schools and districts, highlighting the study's purpose and the importance of their contributions. By employing these strategies, the research ensures a diverse and representative sample of school leaders who can provide valuable insights into transformative leadership practices in digital education. The data collected from the thought experiments and interviews was analysed using thematic analysis. This process involves the following steps:

1. **Transcription:** Audio recordings of focus group discussions and interviews were transcribed verbatim.
2. **Coding:** The transcripts were coded to identify recurring themes and patterns related to the research questions.

3. **Theme Development:** Key themes were developed based on the coded data, providing a comprehensive understanding of participants' perspectives on transformative leadership and digital integration.

Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process. Participants were informed about the study's purpose, their rights, and the voluntary nature of their participation. Written consent was obtained before data collection. Participants' identities and responses were kept confidential. Data were anonymised and securely stored to protect privacy. Participants have the right to withdraw from the study without any consequences. By employing thought experiments and a literature review, the study generates valuable insights that can inform leadership strategies and contribute to school leadership and management.

Results and Discussion

Insights from Thought Experiments and Research Questions

Research Question 1: How can transformative leadership practices facilitate the effective integration of digital tools in schools?

The thought experiments revealed that transformative leadership practices are crucial in successfully integrating digital tools. Participants noted that leaders must actively involve teachers in the selection and implementation process. This collaborative approach fosters a sense of ownership and reduces resistance to change. Effective leaders provide ongoing professional development tailored to the specific needs of their staff, ensuring that educators are confident and competent in using new technologies.

Hypothetical Scenario 1: When a leader is tasked with implementing a new digital learning platform, participants suggested that the leader create a structured training program and establish a feedback loop. Teachers would be encouraged to share their experiences and challenges, allowing leaders to adjust their support strategies. By prioritising collaboration and communication, leaders can enhance the integration process and create a more conducive environment for digital learning.

Research Question 2: What critical factors influence school leaders' ability to adapt to digital transformations?

The scenarios highlighted several critical factors influencing leaders' adaptability in digital transformations. Key among these were school culture, existing technological infrastructure, and the leader's attitudes towards change. A supportive school culture encouraging risk-taking and innovation enables leaders to implement new technologies more effectively. Moreover, leaders' willingness to embrace change and their proactive engagement with emerging technologies significantly impact their ability to guide their institutions through transitions.

Hypothetical Scenario 2: In the crisis scenario where remote learning becomes necessary, participants emphasised the importance of clear communication and trust-building. Leaders who articulate a vision and provide consistent support can foster an environment where educators feel safe experimenting with new online tools. This ability

to adapt quickly and effectively is vital in maintaining educational continuity during unforeseen challenges.

Research Question 3: In what ways does digital education reshape traditional leadership roles and responsibilities?

The thought experiments revealed that digital education significantly alters traditional leadership roles, requiring leaders to act as facilitators, mentors, and **visionaries** rather than just administrators. Participants noted that leaders must model **the use of digital tools** and encourage a culture of continuous learning among staff. This shift necessitates re-evaluating leadership training programs to include digital literacy and strategic planning for technology integration.

Hypothetical Scenario 3: In the scenario focused on fostering a culture of innovation, insights indicated that leaders should adopt a more hands-on approach to mentoring teachers in their use of technology. Creating collaboration and shared learning opportunities, leaders can help educators feel empowered to innovate their teaching practices. **This redefined role highlights the need for leaders to be approachable and available, fostering an environment where educators can thrive.**

Key Themes and Patterns Identified

The findings from the thought experiments and scenarios revealed several key themes:

1. **Collaborative Leadership:** Engaging teachers in decision-making is essential for successful technology integration. Leaders must cultivate a culture of collaboration that empowers educators.
2. **Continuous Professional Development:** Ongoing training is vital for building teacher confidence and competence in digital tools. Leaders should prioritise professional development opportunities tailored to staff needs.
3. **Visionary Leadership:** Leaders must articulate a clear vision for digital education that inspires and motivates stakeholders. This vision should be communicated effectively to align efforts across the school community.
4. **Flexibility and Responsiveness:** Adapting leadership strategies in response to changing circumstances, especially during crises, is crucial. Leaders should be open to feedback and willing to adjust their approaches.

Scenarios and Their Implications for Leadership

Scenario 1: Integrating Digital Tools in Classroom Instruction

The findings suggest that leaders should prioritise collaboration with teachers while integrating new technologies. By establishing an environment of support and providing professional development, leaders can enhance the effectiveness of digital tool implementation.

Scenario 2: Navigating Change During a Crisis

Effective communication and trust-building are critical during times of crisis. Leaders must provide clear guidance and support to maintain morale and ensure the continuity of education.

Scenario 3: Fostering a Culture of Innovation

To promote innovation, leaders should create structures that encourage experimentation and risk-taking among teachers. This includes providing time for collaboration and access to resources that facilitate innovative teaching practices.

Scenario 4: Evaluating Leadership Effectiveness in Digital Education

Leaders should implement robust evaluation frameworks to assess their impact on teaching and learning. Gathering feedback from various stakeholders can inform future strategies and ensure alignment with educational goals.

In summary, the findings illustrate the critical role of transformative leadership in facilitating digital education reforms. School leaders can effectively navigate the complexities of contemporary educational challenges by fostering collaboration, prioritising professional development, articulating a clear vision, and remaining adaptable.

Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study provide critical insights into the role of transformative leadership in facilitating the integration of digital tools within educational settings. Earlier research has consistently highlighted the significance of collaborative leadership in academic settings. For instance, Cooper (2014) emphasised that effective leadership relies on shared responsibility and educator collaboration. The current research reinforces these findings but extends them by demonstrating how collaborative leadership is essential for buy-in during technology implementation and creating a sustained culture of innovation. This nuanced understanding of collaboration as a continuous practice rather than a one-time event represents a significant advancement in the discourse on educational leadership. Sheffield et al. (2018) have shown that ongoing professional development is vital for teachers to integrate technology into their classrooms effectively. The findings of this study corroborate these views but emphasise the necessity of adaptive professional development models that respond to the dynamic nature of digital tools. Participants expressed the need for initial training and ongoing support, which evolves with technological advancements and pedagogical shifts. This highlights a gap in existing literature where the focus is often on initial training rather than continuous growth and adaptation. Research has long recognised the importance of vision in educational leadership. Leaders with a clear vision for technology integration can inspire and mobilise their teams (Chin, 2024). However, the literature often lacks specificity regarding how such visions are developed and communicated. The current research findings reveal that successful leaders articulate a vision and actively involve staff in its development. This finding adds depth to the existing literature by offering practical insights into the vision-creation process and the role of inclusivity in effective leadership. Existing literature has documented the need for flexibility in leadership, particularly in times of crisis (Chatzipanagiotou & Katsarou, 2023). Leaders have struggled to adapt their strategies in response to unforeseen challenges. The findings from this study build on this premise by illustrating how leaders who prioritise adaptability can better navigate crises, such as the transition to remote learning during

the pandemic. This focus on responsiveness during crises provides a fresh perspective on the evolving demands placed on educational leaders in the digital age.

Conclusion

The research has revealed several key findings regarding the role of leadership in facilitating digital integration in education. First, transformational leadership emerged as a critical catalyst for change, fostering a culture of innovation and collaboration among educators. Leaders who embody the principles of transformational leadership—such as idealised influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised consideration—can effectively engage teachers and promote the adoption of new technologies. Second, the study highlighted the importance of a structured change management process. Effective change initiatives require careful planning, assessment of organisational readiness, and ongoing evaluation to ensure successful implementation. Integrating change management theories provided a framework for understanding the sequential steps to facilitate change in educational settings. Third, the research underscored the significance of aligning leadership practices with constructivist learning theories. Leaders can enhance student engagement and outcomes by promoting active learning and collaborative pedagogies. This alignment ensures that digital tools are utilised effectively to support meaningful learning experiences. This research contributes to the existing body of knowledge in several ways. It provides a comprehensive theoretical framework integrating transformational leadership, change management, constructivist learning, and systems theory, offering a holistic understanding of leadership dynamics in digital integration. This framework is a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners seeking to navigate the complexities of educational change. Additionally, the study highlights the critical role of leadership in shaping organisational culture and influencing stakeholder attitudes towards digital initiatives. This research enhances our understanding of how leadership can drive meaningful change in educational environments by identifying best practices and effective strategies for leaders. Based on the findings of this research, several practical recommendations can be made for academic leaders and institutions:

1. Leaders should strive to embody transformational leadership qualities, fostering a vision of digital integration that inspires and motivates educators. This includes providing support, resources, and opportunities for professional development that encourage innovation.
2. Educational institutions should adopt structured change management frameworks to guide the implementation of digital initiatives. This entails assessing organisational readiness, developing clear goals, and establishing ongoing evaluation and feedback mechanisms.
3. Leaders should encourage collaborative and constructivist teaching practices that leverage digital tools. This includes training educators on effective technology integration and fostering a culture of experimentation and risk-taking.
4. Regular communication, feedback mechanisms, and collaborative initiatives help build support and ownership of digital integration efforts.

5. Institutions should cultivate a continuous improvement mindset, recognising that digital integration is ongoing. Leaders should encourage reflection, adaptation, and sharing of best practices to sustain positive outcomes over time.

REFERENCES

- Aditya, D. S. (2021). Embarking Digital Learning Due to COVID-19: Are Teachers Ready? *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 11(1), 104-116. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.1109>
- Agbedahin, A. V. (2019). Sustainable development, Education for Sustainable Development, and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: Emergence, efficacy, eminence, and future. *Sustainable development*, 27(4), 669-680. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.1931>
- Al-Azawei, A., Parslow, P., & Lundqvist, K. (2017). Investigating the effect of learning styles in a blended e-learning system: An extension of the technology acceptance model (TAM). *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 33(2). <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.2741>
- Al-Husseini, S., El Beltagi, I., & Moizer, J. (2021). Transformational leadership and innovation: the mediating role of knowledge sharing amongst higher education faculty. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 24(5), 670-693. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2019.1588381>
- Allen, N., Grigsby, B., & Peters, M. L. (2015). Does leadership matter? Examining the relationship among transformational leadership, school climate, and student achievement. *International Journal of Educational Leadership Preparation*, 10(2), 1-22.
- AlManei, M., Salonitis, K., & Tsionopoulos, C. (2018). A conceptual lean implementation framework based on change management theory. *Procedia cirp*, 72, 1160-1165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2018.03.141>
- Al-Qashouti, N. M. H. (2024). *Educational System Management Under Crisis Events: Remote Education Framework During and Post the Pandemic* (Doctoral dissertation, Hamad Bin Khalifa University (Qatar)).
- Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Rumbley, L. E. (2019). *Trends in global higher education: Tracking an academic revolution* (Vol. 22). Brill.
- Amhag, L., Hellström, L., & Stigmar, M. (2019). Teacher educators use digital tools and needs for digital competence in higher education. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 35(4), 203-220. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2019.1646169>
- Anderson, L. M., & Stillman, J. A. (2013). Student teaching's contribution to preservice teacher development: A review of research focused on the preparation of teachers for urban and high-needs contexts. *Review of Educational Research*, 83(1), 3-69. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654312468619>
- Au, W. (2022). *Unequal by design: High-stakes testing and the standardisation of inequality*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003005179>
- Aziyona, C. M., & Nhedzi, A. (2021). The digital divide and higher education challenge with emergency online learning: Analysis of tweets in the wake of the COVID-19

- lockdown. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 22(4), 164-182. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.1002822>
- Bashori, B., Yusup, M., & Khan, R. (2022). From Vision to Reality: A Holistic Examination of Transformational Leadership as a Catalyst for Cultivating Organizational Culture in Pesantren. *Development: Studies in Educational Management and Leadership*, 1(2), 133-152. <https://doi.org/10.47766/development.v1i1.486>
- Boberg, J. E., & Bourgeois, S. J. (2016). The effects of integrated transformational leadership on achievement. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 54(3). <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-07-2014-0086>
- Boninger, F., Molnar, A., & Saldana, C. (2020). Big Claims, Little Evidence, Lots of Money: The Reality behind the Summit Learning Program and the Push to Adopt Digital Personalized Learning Programs. *Commercialism in Education Research Unit*.
- Royan, M. (2018). Enabling adaptive system leadership: Teachers leading professional development. *Educational management administration & leadership*, 46(1), 86-106. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143216620531>
- Brunetti, F., Matt, D. T., Bonfanti, A., De Longhi, A., Pedrini, G., & Orzes, G. (2020). Digital transformation challenges: strategies emerging from a multi-stakeholder approach. *The TQM Journal*, 32(4), 697-724. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-12-2019-0309>
- Boabeng-Andoh, C. (2012). Factors influencing teachers' adoption and integration of information and communication technology into teaching: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Education and Development using ICT*, 8(1).
- Bukko, D., Liu, K., & Johnson, A. H. (2021). Principal Practices That Build and Sustain Trust: Recommendations from Teachers in a High-Trust School. *Planning & changing*, 50. <https://doi.org/10.1102/1571006>
- Cabrera, D., & Cabrera, L. (2023). Complexity and systems thinking models in education: Applications for leaders. In *Learning, design, and technology: An international compendium of theory, research, practice, and policy* (pp. 1727-1756). Cham: Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.54120/jost.0000030>
- Caena, F., & Redecker, C. (2019). Aligning teacher competence frameworks to 21st century challenges: The case for the European Digital Competence Framework for Educators (Digcompedu). *European journal of education*, 54(3), 356-369. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12345>
- Caldwell, C., Dixon, R. D., Floyd, L. A., Chaudoin, J., Post, J., & Cheokas, G. (2012). Transformative leadership: Achieving unparalleled excellence. *Journal of business ethics*, 109, 175-187. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-1116-2>
- Candrasari, R., Yorman, Y., Mayasari, N., Yulia, R., & Lake, F. (2023). Visionary leadership in education management: leading toward optimal achievement in the era of independent learning. *Indonesian Journal of Education (INJOE)*, 3(3), 451-467.
- Chatzipanagiotou, P., & Katsarou, E. (2023). Crisis management, school leadership in disruptive times and the recovery of schools in the post COVID-19 era: A systematic literature review. *Education Sciences*, 13(2), 118. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13020118>

- Chaudhry, N. I. (2024). Adaptive Leadership: Thriving in Times of Uncertainty. *Center for Management Science Research*, 2(01), 27-33.
- Chin, P. P. (2024). Unlocking the Potential of Visionary Leadership: A Study on Shared Vision, Teamwork and Team Performance. *Education Quarterly Reviews*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.31014/eior.1993.07.02.578>
- Choi, S. L., Goh, C. F., Adam, M. B. H., & Tan, O. K. (2016). Transformational leadership, empowerment, and job satisfaction: the mediating role of employee empowerment. *Human resources for health*, 14, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-016-0171-2>
- Chukwurah, N., Ige, A. B., Idemudia, C., & Adebayo, V. I. (2024). Strategies for engaging stakeholders in data governance: Building effective communication and collaboration. *Open Access Res J Multidiscip Stud*, 8(1), 057-67. <https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjms.2024.8.1.0045>
- Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2023). *E-learning and the science of instruction: Proven guidelines for consumers and designers of multimedia learning*. John Wiley & sons.
- Cooper, M. (2014). 'Everyday teacher leadership': A reconceptualisation for early childhood education. *Journal of Educational Leadership, Policy and Practice*, 29(2), 84-96.
- Crowley, M. C. (2022). *Lead from the heart: Transformational leadership for the 21st century*. Hay House, Inc.
- Culduz, M. (2024). The impact of educational leadership in improving the learning experience. In *Promoting Crisis Management and Creative Problem-Solving Skills in Educational Leadership* (pp. 168-189). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-1-6684-9332-9.ch009>
- Cullinan, J., Flannery, D., Harold, J., Lyons, S., & Palric, D. (2021). The disconnected: COVID-19 and disparities in access to quality broadband for higher education students. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18, 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00262-1>
- Curtis, M. E., Clingan, S. E., Guo, H., Zhu, Y., Mooney, L. J., & Huer, Y. I. (2022). Disparities in digital access among American rural and urban households and implications for telemedicine-based services. *The Journal of Rural Health*, 38(3), 512-518. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jrh.12614>
- Davis, J. (2024). Role of Strategic Leadership in Fostering a Culture of Innovation within Organisations. *International Journal of Strategic Management*, 3(5), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.47604/ijsm.2886>
- Day, C., Gu, Q., & Sammons, P. (2016). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: How successful school leaders use transformational and instructional strategies to make a difference. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 52(2), 221-258. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X15616863>
- Demirbilek, M. (2024). Barriers to Technology Integration. *Social Studies Teaching III: Integrating Technology into the Social Studies*, 51.
- Denning, S. (2011). *The secret language of leadership: How leaders inspire action through narrative*. Wiley+ ORM.

- Ezzaim, A., Dahbi, A., Aqqal, A., & Haidine, A. (2024). AI-based learning style detection in adaptive learning systems: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 1-39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-024-00328-9>
- Gallagher, A. (2023). *Adaptive Leadership: A Phenomenological Study on the Strategies Used by Special Education Directors to Build Adaptive Capacity* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts Global).
- Gbolle, C., & Gong, S. (2018). Enhancing Pre-K-12 Student Learning Outcomes: The Need for Synergies of Policy-Makers, School Administrators and Parents. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 13(8), 270-280. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ERR2018.3497>
- Gericke, N., & Torbjörnsson, T. (2022). Supporting local school reform toward education for sustainable development: The need for creating and continuously negotiating a shared vision and building trust. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 53(4), 231-249. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.2022.2102565>
- Han, X. (2024). Associations between effectiveness of blended learning, student engagement, student learning outcomes, and student academic motivation in higher education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13246-1>
- Henriksen, D., Mehta, R., & Rosenberg, J. (2019). Supporting a creatively focused technology fluent mindset among educators: Survey results from a five-year inquiry into teachers' confidence in using technology. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 27(1), 63-95.
- Ilo, J., & Ng, D. (2017). Tensions in distributed leadership. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 53(2), 223-254. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X16681630>
- Huang, C. C., & Taylor, R. (2019). Any federal infrastructure package should boost investment in low-income communities. *Center on Budget and Policy Priorities*, 28.
- Hughes, D. J., Lee, A., Tian, A. W., Newman, A., & Legood, A. (2018). Leadership, creativity, and innovation: A critical review and practical recommendations. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 29(5), 549-569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2018.03.001>
- Iasrado, F., & Kassem, R. (2021). Let's get everyone involved! The effects of transformational leadership and organisational culture on organisational excellence. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 38(1), 169-194. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-11-2019-0349>
- Li, L., & Liu, Y. (2022). An integrated model of principal transformational leadership and teacher leadership that is related to teacher self-efficacy and student academic performance. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 42(4), 661-678. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2020.1806036>
- Lorente, L. M. L., Arrabal, A. A., & Pulido-Montes, C. (2020). The right to education and ICT during COVID-19: An international perspective. *Sustainability*, 12(21), 9091. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12219091>
- Mavroudi, A., Giannakos, M., & Krogstie, J. (2018). Supporting adaptive learning pathways through the use of learning analytics: developments, challenges and future opportunities. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 26(2), 206-220. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2017.1292531>

- McCarthy, A. M., Maor, D., McConney, A., & Cavanaugh, C. (2023). Digital transformation in education: Critical components for leaders of system change. *Social sciences & humanities open*, 8(1), 100-179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100479>
- Mifsud, D. (2024). A systematic review of school distributed leadership: Exploring research purposes, concepts and approaches in the field between 2010 and 2022. *Journal of Educational Administration and History*, 56(2), 154-179. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220620.2022.2158181>
- Ming, G. K. (2024). Building Bridges, Not Walls: Overcoming Obstacles to Organisational Change. *Compilation of Research Papers on SSH (Social Sciences & Humanities)*, 1.
- Mishra, S., Sahoo, S., & Pandey, S. (2021). Research trends in online distance learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Distance Education*, 42(4), 494-519. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2021.1986373>
- Nadeem, M. (2024). Distributed leadership in educational contexts: A catalyst for school improvement. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 9, 100835. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.100835>
- Nelson, T., & Squires, V. (2017). Addressing complex challenges through adaptive leadership: A promising approach to collaborative problem-solving. *Journal of Leadership Education*, 16(4), 111-123. <https://doi.org/10.12806/V16/4/T2>
- Noroozi, M., Parchami, M., Beyhaghi, S., Armin, S., Goodarzi, S., Takács, E., & Abcouwer, T. (2024, November). Navigating Resistance to Technological Change in Organisations: A Holistic Approach. In *Proceedings of The 19th European Conference on Management, Leadership and Governance*. Academic Conferences International.
- Oshiwole, S. A. (2024). *Barriers Impacting the Integration of Classroom Technology in Primary Schools in Lagos, Nigeria* (Doctoral dissertation, Saint Leo University).
- Pawar, A. (2016). Transformational leadership: inspirational, intellectual and motivational stimulation in business. *International Journal of Enhanced Research in Management & Computer Applications*, 5(5), 14-21.
- Pawar, S., & Dhumal, V. (2024). The role of technology in transforming leadership management practices. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, 7(4), 2024066-2024066. <https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2024066>
- Pluchinotta, I., Salvia, G., & Zimmermann, N. (2022). The importance of eliciting stakeholders' system boundary perceptions for problem structuring and decision-making. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 302(1), 280-293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2021.12.029>
- Polizzi, G. (2020). Digital literacy and the national curriculum for England: Learning from how the experts engage with and evaluate online content. *Computers & Education*, 152, 103859. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103859>
- Porche, M. V., Pallante, D. H., & Snow, C. E. (2012). Professional development for reading achievement: Results from the Collaborative Language and Literacy Instruction Project (CLLIP). *The Elementary School Journal*, 112(4), 649-671. <https://doi.org/10.1086/665098>
- Rikkerink, M., Verbeeten, H., Simons, R. J., & Ritzen, H. (2016). A new model of educational innovation: Exploring the nexus of organisational learning, distributed leadership,

- and digital technologies. *Journal of Educational Change*, 17, 223-249. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-015-9253-5>
- Roberts-Mahoney, H., Means, A. J., & Garrison, M. J. (2016). Netfixing human capital development: Personalised learning technology and the corporatisation of K-12 education. *Journal of Education Policy*, 31(4), 405-420. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680939.2015.1132774>
- Roth, M. A., & Price, J. K. (2015). The critical role of leadership for education transformation with successful technology implementation. In *ICT in education in global context: Comparative reports of innovations in K-12 education* (pp. 195-213). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-47956-8_10
- Schmitz, M. L., Antonietti, C., Consoli, T., Cattaneo, A., Gonon, P., & Petru, D. (2023). Transformational leadership for technology integration in schools: Empowering teachers to use technology in a more demanding way. *Computers & Education*, 204, 104880. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104880>
- Scully, D., Lehane, P., & Scully, C. (2021). 'It is no longer scary': digital learning before and during the Covid-19 pandemic in Irish secondary schools. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 30(1), 159-181. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2020.1854844>
- Sharma, S. N., & Adeoye, M. A. (2024). New Perspectives on Transformative Leadership in Education. Edupedia Publications Pvt Ltd, New Delhi.
- Sheffield, R., Blackley, S., & Moro, P. (2018). A professional learning model supporting teachers to integrate digital technologies. *Issues in Educational Research*, 28(2), 487-510.
- Shelton, E. J. (2012). *Transformational leadership: Trust, motivation and engagement*. Trafford Publishing.
- Shields, C. M. (2017). *Transformative leadership in education: Equitable and socially just change in an uncertain and complex world*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315207148>
- Siangchokyou, N., Klinger, R. L., & Campion, E. D. (2020). Follower transformation as the linchpin of transformational leadership theory: A systematic review and future research agenda. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 31(1), 101341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101341>
- Thomas, G., Morgan, K., & Harris, K. (2016). Albert Bandura: Observational learning in coaching. In *Learning in sports coaching* (pp. 22-33). Routledge.
- Tsarkos, A. (2024). Servant Leadership and Transformative Change: Cultivating Dynamic Educational Ecosystems in School Administration. In *Interdisciplinary Approach to Fostering Change in Schools* (pp. 154-182). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-4119-3.ch007>
- Viberg, O., Andersson, A., & Wiklund, M. (2021). Designing for sustainable mobile learning—re-evaluating the concepts "formal" and "informal". *Interactive Learning Environments*, 29(1), 130-141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1549488>

- Voegtlin, C., Patzer, M., & Scherer, A. G. (2012). Responsible leadership in global business: A new approach to leadership and its multi-level outcomes. *Journal of business ethics*, 105, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0952-4>
- Vossoughi, S., Hooper, P. K., & Escudé, M. (2016). Making through the lens of culture and power: Toward transformative visions for educational equity. *Harvard educational review*, 86(2), 206-232. <https://doi.org/10.17763/0017-8055.86.2.206>
- Walker, J., Pearce, C., Boe, K., & Lawson, M. (2019). *The Power of Education to Fight Inequality: How increasing educational equality and quality is crucial to fighting economic and gender inequality*. Oxfam, <https://doi.org/10.21201/2019.4931>
- Wei, Z. (2023). Navigating digital learning landscapes: unveiling the interplay between learning behaviors, digital literacy, and educational outcomes. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 1-31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01522-3>
- West, D. M. (2013). Mobile learning: Transforming education, engaging students, and improving outcomes. *Brookings Policy Report*, 9(7), 1-7.
- Zajda, J., & Zajda, J. (2021). Constructivist learning theory and creating effective learning environments. *Globalisation and education reforms: Creating effective learning environments*, 35-50. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-71575-5_3

ORIGINALITY REPORT

18%

SIMILARITY INDEX

12%

INTERNET SOURCES

16%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|---|--|------|
| 1 | scholarworks.waldenu.edu
Internet Source | 1 % |
| 2 | Richard Chivaka. "Chapter 4 From a group of people to a well-functioning team: A transformative leadership model in healthcare", IntechOpen, 2024
Publication | 1 % |
| 3 | www.theseus.fi
Internet Source | 1 % |
| 4 | repository.uhamka.ac.id
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 5 | Submitted to Avondale College of Higher Education
Student Paper | <1 % |
| 6 | irep.iium.edu.my
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 7 | www.researchsquare.com
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 8 | Terry, Kathleen Y.. "From Traditional Leadership to Transformational Leadership in Times of Crisis: An Explanatory Sequential Design Mixed-Methods Research Study of Character and Style of Leadership", Marymount University
Publication | <1 % |
| 9 | Walsh, John. "Does Emotional Intelligence and Self-Efficacy Predict Transformational Leadership in Law Enforcement Managers: A | <1 % |

Quantitative Study", Colorado Technical University, 2025

Publication

-
- 10 Robinson, Jacqueline Renee. "Predictability of Rural Teachers' 21st Century Digital Skills Based on Their Perceptions of Technology", Grand Canyon University, 2024
Publication

-
- 11 Wyatt, Stephen Anthony Paul. "Healing Through Decolonized Learning: The Transformative Journeys of Online Indigenous Health Ph.D. Students", The University of North Dakota, 2024
Publication

-
- 12 Areej ElSayary. "International Perspectives on Educational Administration Using Educational Inquiry - Conceptual and Theoretical Approaches", Routledge, 2024
Publication

-
- 13 Sanchez, Michael Giussepe. "Qualitative Descriptive Analysis: Secondary Mathematics Teachers' Descriptions of Content-Specific Technology on Mathematics Achievement.", Grand Canyon University
Publication

-
- 14 dataconomy.com
Internet Source

-
- 15 Dega, Setondji Carmand. "Influencing Successful Cloud Technology Adoption: The Role of Leadership Styles in Change Management for SMEs", University of Maryland University College, 2024
Publication

-
- 16 www.stateregstoday.com
Internet Source
-

- | | | |
|-----------|--|------|
| 17 | Long, Kelly. "A Teacher's Perception of the Influence of Principal Leadership Qualities on a Teacher's Decision to Remain in the Classroom: A Narrative Inquiry Qualitative Study", Texas A&M University - Commerce, 2025
<small>Publication</small> | <1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| 18 | "Higher Education in the Arab World", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2024
<small>Publication</small> | <1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| 19 | Al-Qashouti, Noof Merdef H. A.. "Educational System Management Under Crisis Events: Remote Education Framework During and Post the Pandemic", Hamad Bin Khalifa University (Qatar), 2024
<small>Publication</small> | <1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| 20 | Rivera, Traci. "A Qualitative Study Exploring the Lived Experience of Non-Profit Employees in Racial Equity Work: Uncovering the Essence of Commitment, Challenges and Transformative Potential.", California Baptist University
<small>Publication</small> | <1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| 21 | Submitted to Trident University International
<small>Student Paper</small> | <1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| 22 | jurnal.faperta-unras.ac.id
<small>Internet Source</small> | <1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| 23 | scott, Latoya M.. "Digital Literacy and Career Readiness: College Students' Perception of Their Preparedness to Enter the Workforce", The University of Alabama, 2025
<small>Publication</small> | <1 % |
| <hr/> | | |
| 24 | Molefi Matsieli, Stephen Mutula. "COVID-19 and Digital Transformation in Higher | <1 % |

Education Institutions: Towards Inclusive and
Equitable Access to Quality Education",
Education Sciences, 2024

Publication

25 Peng Liu, Lei Mee Thien. "Understanding
Teacher Leadership in Educational Change -
An International Perspective", Routledge,
2025

Publication

26 bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com <1 %

Internet Source

27 journal.bungabangsacirebon.ac.id <1 %

Internet Source

28 mj.iledu.in <1 %

Internet Source

29 scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu <1 %

Internet Source

30 Athanasios Tsarkos. "chapter 7 Servant
Leadership and Transformative Change", IGI
Global, 2024

Publication

31 Manjari Sharma, Sharad Gupta. "Enhancing
Online Teaching Methods Through
Leadership Innovations", Scientific Bulletin,
2024

Publication

32 Submitted to Nelson Marlborough Institute of
Technology <1 %

Student Paper

33 Submitted to The Hong Kong Institute of
Education <1 %

Student Paper

34 core.ac.uk <1 %

Internet Source

35	www.irejournals.com Internet Source	<1 %
36	Hugo Metcalfe. "Culture by Design - Practical Strategies for Wellbeing, Engagement and Growth", Routledge, 2024 Publication	<1 %
37	Streat, Coretta N.. "From Resources to Results: Understanding the Impact of School Resources on Student Completion and Graduation Rates of Urban K-12 Catholic Schools Participating in the DC Opportunity Scholarship Program.", Marymount University, 2024 Publication	<1 %
38	theses.gla.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
39	Do, Peter. "Exploring Servant Leadership in High-Performing Teams: A Phenomenological Study on Listening, Stewardship, Community Building, and People's Growth as Perceived by Asian American District Administrators", University of Massachusetts Global Publication	<1 %
40	joqie.org Internet Source	<1 %
41	thesis.eur.nl Internet Source	<1 %
42	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	<1 %
43	"Internationalization of Higher Education and Digital Transformation", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2024 Publication	<1 %

44	AlNemer, Abdulmehsen Mohammad. "Examining the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's Tourism Sector and Assessing Its Potential Contributions in Achieving the Kingdom's Vision 2030", Pepperdine University, 2024 Publication	<1 %
45	Submitted to Chester College of Higher Education Student Paper	<1 %
46	ir.unimas.my Internet Source	<1 %
47	Submitted to ESLSCA Business School (Cairo) Student Paper	<1 %
48	Georgios Tsekouropoulos, Anastasia Vasileiou, Greta Hoxha, Dimitrios Theocharis, Efthimia Theodoridou, Theodosios Grigoriadis. "Leadership 4.0: Navigating the Challenges of the Digital Transformation in Healthcare and Beyond", Administrative Sciences, 2025 Publication	<1 %
49	madoc.bib.uni-mannheim.de Internet Source	<1 %
50	mpira.ub.uni-muenchen.de Internet Source	<1 %
51	nano-ntp.com Internet Source	<1 %
52	www.ukm.my Internet Source	<1 %
53	Submitted to Liberty University Student Paper	<1 %
54	Moore, Irene. "Minority Student Sense of Belonging: A Phenomenological Case Study	<1 %

on the Impact of Divisive Concepts Laws and
Student Success.", Middle Tennessee State
University
Publication

55 Raed Awashreh. "chapter 18 Leadership
Strategies for Managing Change and Fostering
Innovation Over Private Higher Education", IGI
Global, 2025
Publication

56 Submitted to University of Glamorgan
Student Paper

57 research.vu.nl
Internet Source

58 westminsterresearch.westminster.ac.uk
Internet Source

59 Dr Michael Fascia. "TECHNOLOGICAL
EVOLUTION AND SOCIETAL SHIFTS", EdArXiv,
2024
Publication

60 Mahairi, Luma. "Organizational Development:
Innovation Ecosystems", Benedictine
University, 2023
Publication

61 Michael Grahame Moore. "Handbook of
Distance Education - Second Edition",
Routledge, 2019
Publication

62 Submitted to UNICAF
Student Paper

63 Submitted to University of Wales, Lampeter
Student Paper

64 askmeoffers.com
Internet Source

65	Internet Source	<1 %
66	systems.enpress-publisher.com Internet Source	<1 %
67	www.frontiersin.org Internet Source	<1 %
68	www.jetir.org Internet Source	<1 %
69	Abreham Amalo Jinga, Jeilu Oumer Hussen, Habtamu Gezahagn Negash, Ashebir Bezabih Estifanos. "Leadership behavior and organizational change management in selected public universities of Ethiopia: Exploring the impact of leadership influences and change processes", Heliyon, 2024 Publication	<1 %
70	Submitted to Colorado Technical University Student Paper	<1 %
71	Janine Badr, Aude Motulsky, Jean-Louis Denis. "Digital health technologies and inequalities: A scoping review of potential impacts and policy recommendations", Health Policy, 2024 Publication	<1 %
72	innovativeresearchinsights.com Internet Source	<1 %
73	medium.com Internet Source	<1 %
74	ojs.rsu.edu.ph Internet Source	<1 %
75	Amir Modarresi Chahardehi, Mahdi Khorsand Ghaffari, Maryam Ashrafi, Reyhaneh Honardoost et al. "Therapeutic aspects of mesenchymal stems cells in medulloblastoma therapy: A review of the current knowledge:	<1 %

MSCs for Medulloblastoma", Aktivitas Nervosa Superior Rediviva, 2024

Publication

76	Schaller, Mikaela. "The Influence of Principal Leadership on Collective Teacher Efficacy", Arkansas State University, 2025	<1 %
77	cdn.unicaf.org Internet Source	<1 %
78	eprints.nottingham.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
79	erus.uz Internet Source	<1 %
80	injotel.org Internet Source	<1 %
81	jurnal.gerakanedukasi.com Internet Source	<1 %
82	www.abacademies.org Internet Source	<1 %
83	www.erudit.org Internet Source	<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 10 words

Exclude bibliography On