

---

## How Understandardisation in Assessment Methods Influences Student Performance and Motivation

Oyeronke Christiana Paramole ✉

Al-Hikmah University Ilorin, Nigeria

Corresponding Email✉: [olaronkus27@gmail.com](mailto:olaronkus27@gmail.com)

---

Submitted : 20-10-2024 ; Accepted: 18-11-2024 ; Published: 25-12-2024

---

### Abstract

Assessment methods in education significantly influence student performance and motivation. However, standardisation—characterised by variability and inconsistency in assessment practices—challenges educators and learners alike. This research explores the impact of standardisation on student outcomes through a systematic literature review (SLR). The SLR synthesised existing studies to identify key themes regarding the correlation between assessment methods and student performance, the role of feedback, and the importance of fairness in assessments. Significant findings indicate that formative assessments enhance academic performance by providing ongoing feedback, while perceived fairness in assessments boosts student motivation and engagement. Additionally, varied assessment methods contribute to developing lifelong learning skills essential for success beyond the classroom. The study concludes that while traditional standardisation offers consistency, standardisation presents unique benefits that can foster a more equitable and effective educational environment. This research contributes to the field by highlighting the need for flexible assessment practices that cater to diverse student needs, offering recommendations for educators and policymakers to enhance assessment strategies and promote improved educational outcomes. Ultimately, this work underscores the importance of ongoing exploration in educational assessment to create inclusive learning environments that support all students.

**Keywords:** Understandardisation, Assessment Methods, Student Performance, Educational Equity, Feedback Mechanisms

---

## INTRODUCTION

The education landscape has evolved significantly over the past few decades, with a growing emphasis on assessment methods as a critical component of the learning process. Assessments evaluate student knowledge and skills, guide instructional practices and inform educational policy. However, standardisation in assessment methods has emerged as a pressing issue, raising concerns about its impact on student performance and motivation. Understandardisation refers to the lack of uniformity and consistency in assessment practices across educational contexts (Sireci, 2020). This inconsistency can manifest in various forms, such as divergent grading criteria, varied test formats, and differing expectations among educators (Jones et al., 2021). As a result, students may encounter assessments that do not accurately reflect their understanding or capabilities, leading to confusion and frustration. Research indicates that when implemented effectively, standardised assessments can enhance educational outcomes by providing clear benchmarks for student performance (Opana & Guantai, 2025; Sadler, 2017). In contrast, standardised methods may contribute to disparities in student achievement, particularly among marginalised groups facing systemic challenges in their educational journeys (Adeniyi et al., 2024; Woldegiorgis & Chiramba, 2025). The inconsistent implementation of assessment criteria can exacerbate these challenges, ultimately influencing students' motivation to engage in learning. Moreover, motivation plays a crucial role in student success. According to Deci and Ryan's Self-Determination Theory, students who perceive their assessments as fair and relevant are more likely to be intrinsically motivated (Deci & Ryan, 2016). Conversely, when assessments lack standardisation, students may feel disheartened or demotivated, impacting their overall academic performance and engagement.

Assessment methods are fundamental to the educational process, serving multiple purposes beyond merely evaluating student knowledge. Assessment methods are critical in shaping curriculum, guiding instruction, and informing educational policy (Goldman & Pellegrino, 2015). Understanding the importance of these methods is essential for educators, administrators, and policymakers alike. At their core, these assessments provide educators with critical data regarding students' academic performance. By employing various assessment tools—such as tests, assignments, and projects—educators can systematically analyse students' strengths and weaknesses in specific knowledge areas and skills (Gareis & Grant, 2016). Practical assessments go beyond merely assigning scores; they illuminate the learning process. For instance, formative assessments allow educators to identify which concepts students grasp and which they find challenging. This diagnostic capability is essential for timely interventions, enabling teachers to provide targeted support tailored to individual or group needs. Educators can adjust their teaching strategies, offer additional resources or modify instructional approaches to enhance student learning outcomes by understanding where students struggle.

Assessment methods play a pivotal role in informing and shaping instructional practices. Formative assessments, such as quizzes, classroom discussions, and peer reviews, provide educators with immediate feedback on student comprehension (Morris et al., 2021). This real-time data allows teachers to make informed decisions about their instructional strategies. For example, if many students perform poorly on a particular topic, educators can revisit that material, employing different teaching methods or providing supplementary resources to clarify misunderstandings. This adaptive approach fosters a responsive learning environment where instruction is tailored to meet the diverse needs of students. Such flexibility not only enhances the effectiveness of teaching but also encourages a culture of continuous

improvement within the classroom. Well-designed assessments can significantly enhance student motivation. When assessments are perceived as fair, relevant, and aligned with learning objectives, they can bolster students' confidence and foster greater engagement with the material (Crogman et al., 2023). Motivated students are more likely to take ownership of their learning, actively participate in class discussions, and seek additional resources to deepen their understanding. Conversely, assessments that lack clarity or fairness can lead to feelings of anxiety and disengagement. When students feel unsure about what is expected of them or perceive assessments as arbitrary, their motivation to learn diminishes (McMillan & Moore, 2020). Therefore, educators must design assessments that evaluate learning and inspire and empower students to achieve their full potential.

Assessment methods are also vital mechanisms for accountability within educational systems. Standardised tests are frequently used to assess the effectiveness of schools and educators, providing valuable data that can influence funding, policy decisions, and school improvement strategies (Lynch et al., 2016). These assessments serve as benchmarks for educational standards, ensuring all students receive a quality education. Moreover, accountability through assessments can drive systemic improvements. When schools are held accountable for student performance, they are more likely to implement effective teaching practices, allocate resources strategically, and foster professional development among educators (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017). This accountability is essential for promoting educational equity and ensuring that all students, regardless of background, have access to high-quality learning experiences. Finally, effective assessment methods can be crucial in promoting equity in education. By implementing fair and standardised assessment practices, educators can help level the playing field for students from diverse backgrounds (Wormeli, 2023). Equitable assessments are vital in identifying and addressing the achievement gaps among different demographic groups. When assessment practices are equitable, they can highlight disparities in student performance, allowing educators to develop targeted interventions that address specific needs. For instance, if data reveal that a subgroup is underperforming, schools can implement tailored support strategies, such as mentorship programs or additional tutoring. By prioritising equity in assessments, educators contribute to a more just educational system where all students have the opportunity to succeed.

This research explores and comprehensively understands the implications of standardisation in assessment methods. By examining its nature, impact on student performance and motivation, identification of effective practices, and contribution to policy development, the paper will enhance educational outcomes and foster an equitable learning environment for all students.

## **Literature Review**

### ***Definition of Understandisation***

Understandisation in assessment refers to the lack of consistent and uniform criteria for evaluating student performance across different educational settings (Rodriguez, 2022). This phenomenon can manifest in various ways, including disparate grading practices, inconsistent assessment formats, and subjective interpretations by educators. According to Sleeter and Carmona (2017), standardisation occurs when assessment methods do not adhere to established educational standards or benchmarks, leading to variability in student learning.

The implications of standardisation are significant. Students may receive different evaluations for similar levels of performance, which can create confusion and inequity in educational outcomes (Autin et al., 2019). Furthermore, standardisation can contribute to discrepancies in accountability measures, making it challenging to assess academic programs' effectiveness or compare performance across different schools or districts. This inconsistency can ultimately undermine the validity of assessments, as they may fail to reflect student learning and achievement accurately.

### ***Key Concepts of Understandardisation***

Understandardisation in assessment methods is informed by a growing body of research highlighting the complexities and consequences of inconsistent evaluation practices. Vo et al. (2017) revealed that assessments can lead to significant disparities in student outcomes without a standardised framework. Research-driven insights reveal that these disparities often arise from subjective grading practices and varied evaluation criteria among educators (Meyer, 2024). For instance, Guskey and Brookhart (2019) emphasise that when assessment criteria are not clearly defined, it can result in confusion and inequity, impacting students' understanding of expected performance. One of the core concepts surrounding standardisation is the need for flexibility and adaptability in assessment practices. While standardisation aims to create uniformity, it is essential to recognise that educational contexts are diverse and dynamic. Assessment methods must adapt to varying student needs, learning styles, and cultural backgrounds (El-Sabagh, 2021). Flexibility allows educators to modify assessments to align with instructional goals and student interests, fostering a more engaging learning environment. For example, formative assessments can be tailored to provide immediate feedback and support, helping educators respond to students' needs in real-time (Irons & Elkington, 2021). This adaptability is crucial in promoting equitable learning experiences that acknowledge and address individual differences among students.

Socioculturally responsive assessment is a key concept linked to universalisation, emphasising the importance of considering students' cultural and social contexts in evaluation practices. Anyichie et al. (2023) state that culturally relevant assessments can significantly enhance student engagement and performance. Understandardisation can often overlook these critical factors, leading to assessments that fail to resonate with diverse student populations. By integrating sociocultural responsiveness into assessment design, educators can create more inclusive environments that validate and recognise the diverse backgrounds of their students. This approach enhances the relevance of assessments and fosters a sense of belonging and motivation among students, ultimately leading to improved academic outcomes. Validity and fairness are fundamental concepts in the discussion of standardisation in assessment. Validity refers to the extent to which an assessment accurately measures what it intends to measure, while fairness pertains to the equitable treatment of all students during the assessment process (Alordiah & Oji, 2024). Understandardisation can compromise validity and fairness; when assessment criteria vary widely, the results may not accurately reflect students' knowledge and skills. Additionally, disparities in assessment practices can lead to biased outcomes, disproportionately affecting marginalised groups (Van Eijk, 2017). Ensuring that assessments are valid and fair is essential to mitigating the adverse effects of standardisation. This involves establishing clear, consistent criteria that can be applied universally while still accommodating individual student needs and promoting equitable educational opportunities.

### ***Assessment Methods***

Assessment methods can be broadly categorised into formative and summative assessments. Formative assessments monitor student learning during the instructional process (Elmahdi et al., 2018). They provide ongoing feedback to educators and students, enabling adjustments in teaching strategies and learning approaches. Examples include quizzes, class discussions, and peer reviews. These assessments are essential for fostering a responsive learning environment, as they help identify areas where students may need additional support. In contrast, summative assessments evaluate student learning at the end of an instructional unit, often as a final measure of comprehension and achievement (Kibble, 2017). Common examples include standardised tests, final exams, and end-of-term projects. While summative assessments can provide a snapshot of student performance, they may not always reflect the breadth of a student's knowledge or learning process (Kulasegaram & Rangachari, 2018). Moreover, assessments can vary in formats, from traditional paper-and-pencil tests to performance-based assessments and portfolio evaluations. The choice of assessment method can significantly impact student engagement and motivation. Cimermanová (2018) revealed that varied assessment formats can cater to diverse learning styles, enhancing student participation and achievement.

### ***Theoretical Frameworks on Student Performance and Motivation***

Several theoretical frameworks provide insight into the relationship between assessment methods, student performance, and motivation. One prominent framework is the Self-Determination Theory (SDT), proposed by Deci and Ryan. This theory posits that individuals are most motivated when their basic psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are met (Van den Broeck et al., 2016). In the context of assessments, students who perceive assessments as fair and relevant are more likely to be intrinsically motivated, leading to improved academic performance. Another essential framework is the Expectancy-Value Theory, which suggests that students' motivation to engage in a task is influenced by their expectations of success and the perceived value of the task (Loh, 2019; Wu & Fan, 2017). When assessments are seen as meaningful and aligned with learning goals, students are more likely to invest effort and demonstrate higher levels of achievement. Additionally, the Feedback Intervention Theory highlights the critical role of feedback in the learning process (Carless & Boud, 2018). Effective feedback, which is often derived from assessments, can enhance student motivation and performance by providing specific information on how to improve. Conversely, poor feedback practices can lead to frustration and disengagement, particularly in environments characterised by standardisation.

### ***Impact of Understandisation on Assessment***

Understandisation results in significant variability in assessment criteria across different educational settings. This variability can manifest in numerous ways, including inconsistent grading rubrics, diverse evaluation methods, and subjective interpretations by educators. According to Lodge et al. (2018), such inconsistency can lead to confusion among students regarding the expectations for their performance. When assessment criteria are not clearly defined or uniformly applied, students may find it challenging to understand how their work will be evaluated, distorting their learning objectives and priorities (Maloshonok &

Terentev, 2017). Furthermore, variability in assessment criteria can create inequities in educational outcomes. For instance, students in one classroom may receive higher grades for similar work than their peers in another solely due to differing evaluation standards (Double et al., 2020). This lack of uniformity can undermine the credibility of assessments and erode students' trust in the educational system. Ultimately, when students perceive that assessments are arbitrary or unfair, it can lead to disengagement and a diminished sense of ownership over their academic journey.

The consequences of standardisation on student performance can be profound and multifaceted. Daka et al. (2020) revealed that inconsistent assessment practices could negatively impact students' academic achievement. When students are assessed using different criteria, it can lead to discrepancies in their understanding of the subject matter and mastery of skills (Lineberry et al., 2015). This inconsistency can hinder students' ability to gauge their progress accurately, making it difficult for them to identify areas needing improvement. Moreover, standardisation can exacerbate existing achievement gaps among different demographic groups. Students from marginalised backgrounds may be disproportionately affected by variable assessment practices, which can contribute to lower performance levels and perpetuate cycles of disadvantage (Rana, 2024). Consequently, when assessments lack standardisation, they not only fail to provide an accurate measure of student learning but may also reinforce systemic inequities within the educational system. The effects of standardisation on student motivation are equally concerning. When assessments are perceived as inconsistent or unfair, students may experience increased anxiety and decreased confidence in their abilities. This perception can lead to a lack of intrinsic motivation, critical for sustained engagement and academic success (Augustyniak et al., 2016). According to Rajendran et al. (2018), when students receive unclear or inconsistent feedback, it can create frustration and reduce their willingness to invest effort in their learning. Furthermore, standardisation can diminish students' sense of autonomy and agency. Students may feel less connected to their educational goals when assessments are not aligned with their learning experiences or are perceived as arbitrary. This disconnection can lead to disengagement and a lack of persistence in overcoming academic challenges (Skinner et al., 2016). To foster motivation, educators must implement transparent, fair, and standardised assessment practices that give students a sense of purpose and direction in their learning. By recognising these challenges, educators and policymakers can work towards implementing more consistent and equitable assessment practices that enhance learning outcomes and foster a positive educational environment.

## **RESEARCH METHOD**

This research employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to explore the impact of standardisation in assessment methods on student performance and motivation. The SLR approach is chosen for its rigour in synthesising existing research and providing a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge on this topic (Okoli, 2015). The SLR analyses existing literature on understanding assessment methods, evaluates their impact on student performance and motivation, and identifies research gaps to inform future studies. The review protocol explores the definitions and manifestations of standardisation in assessment, its influence on student performance, and its effects on student motivation. The review uses peer-reviewed articles, studies on assessment methods in educational contexts, and research on student performance and motivation. Exclusion criteria include non-peer-reviewed literature,

non-focused studies, and articles published before 2015. A comprehensive search strategy was implemented across multiple academic databases, including ERIC, JSTOR, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science. The search uses keywords such as "standardisation in assessment," "impact of assessment methods on student performance," "student motivation and assessment fairness," and "variability in educational assessments." Boolean operators (AND, OR) refine search results and ensure comprehensive topic coverage. The paper uses a standardised data extraction form to gather key information from selected studies, including author(s), year of publication, study design, findings, and identified limitations. This helps understand how standardisation impacts assessment practices, student performance, and motivation.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Differentiating Understandisation from Traditional Approaches**

A systematic literature review (SLR) revealed critical distinctions between traditional standardisation and understandisation in assessment methods. These differences highlight the implications of each approach for student performance and motivation. Traditional standardisation in assessment is characterised by establishing uniform criteria and benchmarks consistently applied across educational contexts (Ajjawi et al., 2021). This approach aims to create a level playing field for all students, ensuring that assessments are comparable and that outcomes can be reliably measured (Meylani, 2023). Assessments are designed with clearly defined rubrics and scoring guidelines that all educators must adhere to. This uniformity helps to minimise subjectivity in grading, making results more reliable and valid (Farzana & Ilahi, 2023). Standardised assessments, such as state and national exams, allow comparisons across different schools and districts. This is essential for accountability measures and evaluating educational programs' effectiveness (Verger et al., 2019). Traditional standardisation often emphasises accountability for educators and institutions. Schools are evaluated based on student performance on standardised tests, which can influence funding and policy decisions (Verger et al., 2019). While traditional standardisation provides a framework for consistency and comparability, it can also lead to a narrow focus on test preparation, potentially compromising the richness of the educational experience.

In contrast, standardisation refers to the variability and inconsistency in assessment practices, which can arise from differing grading criteria, subjective evaluations, and a lack of standardised benchmarks (Huber & Skedsmo, 2017). Assessments may lack clear and consistent criteria, leading to subjective interpretations by different educators. This variability can result in significant discrepancies in student evaluations (Feistauer & Richter, 2017). Due to the absence of uniform criteria, students may receive different grades for similar performance levels. This inconsistency can create confusion and diminish trust in the assessment process (Dolan et al., 2019). Understandisation can exacerbate existing inequalities in education, particularly for marginalised groups. Without standardised benchmarks, students from diverse backgrounds may face difficulty assessing their learning (Sleeter & Carmona, 2017). The lack of clarity and fairness in standardised assessments can decrease student motivation and engagement. When students perceive assessments as arbitrary or unfair, their confidence and willingness to invest effort in learning may diminish (Alam & Mohanty, 2023).

### **Benefits of Understandardisation**

The systematic literature review (SLR) identified several key benefits of standardisation in assessment methods. While traditional standardisation aims for uniformity, standardisation offers unique advantages that can enhance educational practices and outcomes. The following themes emerged as significant benefits: One of the primary benefits of standardisation is the potential for more valid and fair assessments. When assessments are flexible and adaptable, educators can tailor evaluation methods better to reflect their students' diverse learning styles and backgrounds (Sharma, 2024). This individualised approach can produce more accurate representations of student understanding (Bernard et al., 2019). Research indicates that assessments designed with student context can enhance fairness by reducing biases often accompanying rigid standardised tests (Knoester & Au, 2017). By allowing for varied assessment formats—such as projects, presentations, and portfolios—educators can provide a more comprehensive evaluation of student performance. Understandardisation can improve educational outcomes by fostering an environment prioritising learning over compliance with standardised testing regimens. Educators focusing on formative assessments responsive to student needs can more effectively identify and address learning gaps (Irons & Elkington, 2021). This adaptability encourages a more profound understanding and mastery of content, ultimately leading to higher academic achievement. Meng (2023) revealed that overall performance improves when assessments align with instructional practices and student interests.

Flexible assessment methods associated with standardisation can significantly increase student engagement. When students perceive assessments as relevant and reflective of their learning experiences, they are more likely to invest effort and demonstrate enthusiasm for their studies (Lam, 2016). Engaging assessments, such as collaborative projects and real-world applications, can foster a sense of ownership and agency among students. Research indicates that when students are actively involved in their learning process, their motivation and commitment to educational goals enhance, leading to a more vibrant and participatory classroom environment (Abidin, 2024; Hassan, 2024). Understandardisation encourages the development of lifelong learning skills by focusing on critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving. Assessments that allow for exploration and innovation enable students to engage with material meaningfully, fostering skills essential for success beyond the classroom (Devaki, 2024). By incorporating diverse assessment strategies that promote inquiry and reflection, educators can cultivate a mindset of continuous learning and adaptability in students, preparing them for the complexities of the modern world. Finally, standardisation can enhance teacher professional development by encouraging reflective practices and educator collaboration. When educators can design and implement varied assessment methods, they are prompted to continuously learn and share best practices (Martin et al., 2019). This collaborative environment fosters professional growth, as teachers can learn from one another's experiences and insights, ultimately improving teaching effectiveness. Research indicates that a supportive professional community enhances teachers' ability to adapt to diverse student needs and to implement innovative assessment strategies (Samundeeswari, 2024; Rizqi & Syafika, 2024).

### **Correlation Between Assessment Methods and Student Outcomes**

The systematic literature review (SLR) revealed significant correlations between assessment methods and student outcomes, highlighting how various approaches to assessment can influence academic performance, engagement, and overall success in education. One of the primary findings of the SLR is that different types of assessment—formative, summative, and diagnostic—have distinct impacts on academic performance. Formative assessments, which provide ongoing feedback during the learning process, are particularly effective in enhancing student understanding and retention of material (Owen, 2016). Research indicates that students who engage with formative assessments tend to achieve higher academic outcomes because these assessments encourage continuous learning and allow for timely interventions when difficulties arise (Latifah & Suwandi, 2024). Conversely, while summative assessments provide a snapshot of student learning at a specific time, their heavy reliance can lead to teaching to the test, which may not accurately reflect a student's comprehensive understanding of the subject matter (Dolin et al., 2018). The SLR findings suggest that a balanced approach incorporating formative and summative assessments can optimise student performance by fostering knowledge retention and skill application. Another significant theme identified is the role of assessment feedback in enhancing student outcomes. Effective feedback is critical for guiding students in improving their performance and understanding. According to Hasan (2024), specific, timely, actionable feedback can significantly boost student motivation and performance. The SLR highlighted that assessments incorporating clear feedback loops enable students to reflect on their learning processes, leading to better self-regulation and improvement.

Moreover, assessments that encourage peer feedback and collaborative learning further enhance this effect, as students benefit from diverse perspectives and insights (Meijer et al., 2024). The evidence suggests that when students are actively involved in the feedback process, they are more likely to take ownership of their learning, resulting in improved academic outcomes. The SLR also underscored the correlation between the perceived fairness of assessment methods and student engagement. Fair assessments—transparent, equitable, and aligned with learning objectives—foster higher student motivation and participation (Alt & Raichel, 2021). When students believe they are being assessed fairly, they are more likely to engage deeply with the material and invest effort in their studies. In contrast, assessments perceived as biased or arbitrary can lead to disengagement and decreased motivation. This finding emphasises the importance of establishing clear and consistent assessment criteria that all students can understand and relate to, thereby promoting a sense of trust and fairness in the educational environment (Dolan et al., 2019). The review revealed that varied assessment methods, particularly those that promote critical thinking and problem-solving, are associated with developing lifelong learning skills. Assessments encouraging creativity, collaboration, and real-world applications enable students to cultivate skills beyond academic performance (Eswaran, 2024). Research suggests that students exposed to diverse assessment formats are better prepared for future challenges as they learn to adapt their knowledge and skills to new contexts (Timmis et al., 2016; Zeng, 2025). This connection highlights the need for educators to design assessments that evaluate knowledge and foster essential skills for lifelong learning. By integrating innovative assessment methods, educators can support students in becoming adaptable and resilient learners.

## Discussion Of Findings

The systematic literature review (SLR) findings reveal a complex relationship between standardisation in assessment methods and student outcomes. The evidence suggests that while traditional standardisation aims for uniformity, the variability inherent in standardisation can offer unique benefits that enhance educational practices. The SLR highlighted that varied assessment types—formative, summative, and diagnostic—impact student academic performance differently. Formative assessments provide ongoing feedback and are particularly effective in promoting student understanding and retention. This aligns with Ziad's (2021) assertion that formative assessments foster a learning culture by allowing students to self-reflect and improve. Conversely, relying on summative assessments often leads to a narrow focus on test preparation, which may not accurately reflect a student's comprehensive understanding (Harrison et al., 2017). The review also emphasised the importance of validity and fairness in assessment practices. Assessments perceived as fair tend to enhance student motivation and engagement, supporting Zara et al.'s (2022) assertion that a sense of autonomy and fairness is crucial for intrinsic motivation. The findings indicate that when assessment criteria are clear and consistently applied, students are more likely to trust the evaluation process, leading to higher engagement and performance. Furthermore, the connection between assessment methods and the development of lifelong learning skills was a significant theme. Assessments that promote critical thinking, creativity, and real-world applications prepare students for future challenges. This supports the notion that educational assessments should extend beyond measuring knowledge to fostering skills essential for adaptability and resilience in a rapidly changing world (Kucuksuleymanoglu, 2025).

The implications of these findings are profound for educators. First, the emphasis on formative assessments suggests that educators should prioritise ongoing feedback mechanisms in their teaching practices. Teachers can create a more responsive learning environment that addresses individual student needs by integrating formative assessments into their instructional strategies. Additionally, educators must strive to ensure that assessments are perceived as fair and equitable. This involves establishing clear grading criteria and engaging students in discussions about assessment expectations. When students understand how they will be evaluated, they are more likely to trust the process and engage with the material. Finally, the findings highlight the need for educators to design assessments that cultivate lifelong learning skills. This can be achieved by incorporating project-based learning, collaborative assessments, and opportunities for self-directed learning. By doing so, educators can help students develop the critical skills necessary for success beyond the classroom. Based on the findings and their implications, several recommendations for future assessments emerge: Schools should adopt a balanced assessment approach that prioritises formative assessments. This could involve regular check-ins, peer assessments, and self-reflective practices that provide students with ongoing feedback and opportunities for growth. Educators should establish and communicate clear assessment criteria consistently applied across all evaluations. Training sessions on unbiased grading practices can be beneficial in achieving this goal. Future assessments should encompass a variety of formats, including performance-based tasks, portfolios, and collaborative projects. This diversity can help cater to different learning styles and provide a more comprehensive evaluation of student capabilities. Schools should invest in professional development opportunities that focus on innovative assessment strategies. This will equip

educators with the skills necessary to implement effective assessment practices that align with the findings of this review.

## CONCLUSION

This paper has explored the multifaceted impact of standardisation in assessment methods on student performance and motivation. The systematic literature review (SLR) has illuminated the complexities surrounding traditional standardisation versus understanding, highlighting how variability in assessment practices can yield challenges and opportunities. Key insights reveal that formative assessments enhance academic outcomes by providing continuous feedback that fosters a learning culture. The emphasis on valid and fair assessment practices has significantly influenced student engagement and motivation, underscoring the necessity for precise and consistent evaluation criteria. Additionally, the connection between diverse assessment methods and the development of lifelong learning skills emphasises the importance of preparing students for future challenges in an ever-evolving landscape. The findings of this research have important implications for educators and policymakers. By prioritising flexible and adaptable assessment strategies, educational institutions can create more equitable learning environments that cater to the diverse needs of students. Implementing varied assessment formats, encouraging reflective feedback practices, and fostering professional development for educators are recommended steps to enhance the effectiveness of assessment practices. This research contributes to the broader field of education by advocating for a shift towards more inclusive and innovative assessment methods. As the educational landscape evolves, ongoing exploration and dialogue regarding assessment practices will be essential to ensure all students receive the support they need to succeed.

## REFERENCES

- Abidin, Z. (2024). Effective Classroom Management as a Quick Solution to Improve Student Participation and Motivation in the Learning Process. *Zabags International Journal of Education*, 2(2), 75–88.
- Adeniyi, I. S., Al Hamad, N. M., Adewusi, O. E., Unachukwu, C. C., Osawaru, B., Onyebuchi, C. N., & David, I. O. (2024). Educational reforms and their impact on student performance: A review in African Countries. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(2), 750-762.
- Ajjawi, R., Bearman, M., & Boud, D. (2021). Performing standards: a critical perspective on the contemporary use of standards in assessment. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 26(5), 728-741.
- Alam, A., & Mohanty, A. (2023). Cultural beliefs and equity in educational institutions: exploring the social and philosophical notions of ability groupings in teaching and learning of mathematics. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 28(1), 2270662.
- Alordiah, C., & Oji, J. (2024). Test equating in educational assessment: a comprehensive framework for promoting fairness, validity, and cross-cultural equity. *Asian Journal of Assessment in Teaching and Learning*, 14(1), 70–84.

- Alt, D., & Raichel, N. (2021). *Equity and formative assessment in higher education*. Springer International Publishing.
- Anyichie, A. C., Butler, D. L., & Nashon, S. M. (2023). Exploring Teacher Practices for Enhancing Student Engagement in Culturally Diverse Classrooms. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, 7(5), 183–207.
- Augustyniak, R. A., Ables, A. Z., Guilford, P., Lujan, H. L., Cortright, R. N., & DiCarlo, S. E. (2016). Intrinsic motivation: an overlooked component for student success. *Advances in Physiology Education*, 40(4), 465–466.
- Autin, F., Batruch, A., & Butera, F. (2019). The function of selection of assessment leads evaluators to artificially create the social class achievement gap. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 111(4), 717.
- Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Schmid, R. F., Waddington, D. I., & Pickup, D. I. (2019). Twenty-first-century adaptive teaching and individualised learning operationalised as specific blends of student-centred instructional events: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 15(1-2), e1017.
- Carless, D., & Boud, D. (2018). The development of student feedback literacy: enabling uptake of feedback. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(8), 1315–1325.
- Cimermanová, I. (2018). The Effect of Learning Styles on Academic Achievement in Different Forms of Teaching. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(3), 219-232.
- Crogman, H. T., Eshun, K. O., Jackson, M., Trebeau, M. A., Joseph, E., Warner, L. C., & Erenso, D. B. (2023). Upgrading: The case for abandoning institutionalised assessment protocols and improving pedagogical strategies. *Education Sciences*, 13(11), 1091.
- Daka, H., Chipindi, F. M., & Mwale, M. (2020). The Relationship between Assessment Practices and Students' Academic Performances. *Zambian Journal of Educational Management, Administration and Leadership* 1(1), 143-156.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Burns, D., Campbell, C., Goodwin, A.L., Hammerness, K., Low, E.L., McIntyre, A., Sato, M. & Zeichner, K. (2017). *Empowered educators: How high-performing systems shape teaching quality around the world*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2016). Optimising students' motivation in the era of testing and pressure: A self-determination theory perspective. In *Building autonomous learners: Perspectives from research and practice using Self-determination Theory* (pp. 9–29). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- Devaki, V. (2024). Exploring the Impact of Innovative Assessment Methods on Learning Outcomes: A Review of Project-Based Assessments, Portfolios, Peer Assessment, and Self-Assessment. *Policy Development, Curriculum Design, and Administration of Language Education*, 343–374.
- Dolan, B. M., Arnold, J., & Green, M. M. (2019). Establishing trust when assessing learners: barriers and opportunities. *Academic Medicine*, 94(12), 1851-1853.
- Dolin, J., Black, P., Harlen, W., & Tiberghien, A. (2018). Exploring relations between formative and summative assessment. *Transforming assessment: Through an interplay between practice, research and policy*, 53-80.
- Double, K. S., McGrane, J. A., & Hopfenbeck, T. N. (2020). The impact of peer assessment on academic performance: A meta-analysis of control group studies. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(2), 481-509.

- Elmahdi, I., Al-Hattami, A., & Fawzi, H. (2018). Using Technology for Formative Assessment to Improve Students' Learning. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 17(2), 182-188.
- El-Sabagh, H. A. (2021). Adaptive e-learning environment based on learning styles and its impact on development students' engagement. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 53.
- Eswaran, U. (2024). Project-based learning: Fostering collaboration, creativity, and critical thinking. In *Enhancing education with intelligent systems and data-driven instruction* (pp. 23–43). IGI Global.
- Farzana, T., & Ilahi, A. (2023). Quality in Education: Ascertaining the Use of Rubrics for Successful Implementation of OBE at the Tertiary Level in Bangladesh. *Education Quarterly Reviews*, 6(3).
- Feistauer, D., & Richter, T. (2017). How reliable are students' evaluations of teaching quality? A variance components approach. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 42(8), 1263-1279.
- Gareis, C., & Grant, L. W. (2015). *Teacher-made assessments: How to connect curriculum, instruction, and student learning*. Routledge.
- Goldman, S. R., & Pellegrino, J. W. (2015). Research on learning and instruction: Implications for curriculum, instruction, and assessment. *Policy Insights from the Behavioural and Brain Sciences*, 2(1), 33-41.
- Guskey, T. R., & Brookhart, S. M. (2019). *What we know about grading: What works, what doesn't, and what's next*. ASCD.
- Harrison, C. J., Könings, K. D., Schuwirth, L. W., Wass, V., & Van der Vleuten, C. P. (2017). Changing the culture of assessment: the dominance of the summative assessment paradigm. *BMC Medical Education*, 17, 1-14.
- Hasan, A. M. (2024). Teachers' feedback and its impact on students' performance in education. *International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research*, 8(3), 21–31.
- Hassan, M. U. (2024). Motivational Strategies and Their Impact on Elementary Education in Punjab, Pakistan. *Journal of Policy Options*, 7(2), 11–19.
- Huber, S. G., & Skedsmo, G. (2017). Standardisation and assessment practices. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 29, 1-3.
- Irons, A., & Elkington, S. (2021). *Enhancing learning through formative assessment and feedback*. Routledge.
- Jones, E., Priestley, M., Brewster, L., Wilbraham, S. J., Hughes, G., & Spanner, L. (2021). Student wellbeing and assessment in higher education: The balancing act. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 46(3), 438–450.
- Kibble, J. D. (2017). Best practices in summative assessment. *Advances in physiology education*, 41(1), 110–119.
- Knoester, M., & Au, W. (2017). Standardised testing and school segregation: Like tinder for fire? *Race, Ethnicity, and Education*, 20(1), 1-14.
- Kucuksuleymanoglu, R. (2025). Resilience in Lifelong Learning for Individuals. In *Resilience, Adaptability, and Cultural Awareness Within the Educational Landscape* (pp. 69-96). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

- Kulasegaram, K., & Rangachari, P. K. (2018). Beyond “formative”: Assessments to enrich student learning. *Advances in physiology education*, 42(1), 5-14.
- Lam, R. (2016). Assessment as learning: examining a cycle of teaching, learning, and assessment of writing in the portfolio-based classroom. *Studies in higher education*, 41(11), 1900-1917.
- Latifah, H., & Suwandi, M. A. (2024). Innovative Assessment Methods: Enhancing Student Learning through Formative Assessment and Peer Review. *Innovative Journal of Educational Research and Insights*, 1(1), 40-50.
- Lineberry, M., Park, Y. S., Cook, D. A., & Yudkowsky, R. (2015). Making the case for mastery learning assessments: key issues in validation and justification. *Academic medicine*, 90(11), 1445-1450.
- Lodge, J. M., Kennedy, G., Lockyer, L., Arguel, A., & Pachman, M. (2018, June). Understanding difficulties and resulting confusion in learning: An integrative review. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 3, p. 49). Frontiers Media SA.
- Loh, E. K. (2019). What we know about expectancy-value theory, and how it helps to design a sustained, motivating learning environment. *System*, 86, 102119.
- Lynch, D., Smith, R., Provost, S., & Madden, J. (2016). Improving teaching capacity to increase student achievement: The key role of data interpretation by school leaders. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 54(5), 575–592.
- Maloshonok, N., & Terentev, E. (2017). The mismatch between student educational expectations and realities: Prevalence, causes, and consequences. *European Journal of Higher Education*, 7(4), 356-372.
- Martin, F., Ritzhaupt, A., Kumar, S., & Budhrani, K. (2019). Award-winning faculty online teaching practices: Course design, assessment and evaluation, and facilitation. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 42, 34-43.
- McMillan, J. H., & Moore, S. (2020). Better being wrong (sometimes): Classroom assessment that enhances student learning and motivation. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 93(2), 85–92.
- Meijer, H., Hoekstra, R., Brouwer, J., & Strijbos, J. W. (2020). Unfolding collaborative learning assessment literacy: A reflection on current assessment methods in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 45(8), 1222-1240.
- Meng, S. (2023). Enhancing teaching and learning: Aligning instructional practices with education quality standards. *Research and Advances in Education*, 2(7), 17–31.
- Meyer, K. (2024). *Understanding the Perspectives of Secondary School Administrators Regarding the Tenets of Standards-Based Grading* (Doctoral dissertation, Lamar University-Beaumont).
- Meylani, R. (2023). A comparative analysis of traditional and modern approaches to assessment and evaluation in education. *Bati Anadolu Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 15(1), 520-555.
- Morris, R., Perry, T., & Wardle, L. (2021). Formative assessment and feedback for learning in higher education: A systematic review. *Review of Education*, 9(3), e3292.
- Okoli, C. (2015). A guide to conducting a standalone systematic literature review. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 37.
- Opana, O. J., & Guantai, K. H. (2025). Relationship Between Clear Performance Standards and Students' Academic Performance in Homa Bay County. *Journal of Education*, 5(2), 15-26.

- Owen, L. (2016). The Impact of Feedback as Formative Assessment on Student Performance. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 28(2), 168–175.
- Rajendran, R., Iyer, S., & Murthy, S. (2018). Personalised affective feedback to address students' frustration in ITS. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 12(1), 87–97.
- Rana, D. K. (2024). Quality education for underrepresented groups: Bridging the gap. *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences*, 9(1), 212–219.
- Rizqi, P. U., & Syafika, W. (2024). Strengthening Professional Learning Communities Through Policy and Institutional Support in Diverse Educational Contexts. *Sinergi International Journal of Education*, 2(3), 141-156.
- Rodriguez, M. C. (2022). Standards for SEL assessment. In *Assessing competencies for social and emotional learning* (pp. 57-76). Routledge.
- Sadler, D. R. (2017). Academic achievement standards and quality assurance. *Quality in Higher Education*, 23(2), 81-99.
- Samundeeswari, D. D. (2024). Teacher Professional Development: Effective Strategies And Evaluation Methods. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(6), 1726-1733.
- Sharma, S. (2024). Enhancing Inclusive Learning Environments: Strategies for Curriculum Adaptation and Modification. *Future of Special Education in India*, 109.
- Sireci, S. G. (2020). Standardisation and Understandardization in Educational Assessment. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 39(3), 100–105.
- Skinner, E. A., Pitzer, J. R., & Steele, J. S. (2016). Can student engagement serve as a motivational resource for academic coping, persistence, and learning during late elementary and early middle school? *Developmental psychology*, 52(12), 2099.
- Sleeter, C., & Carmona, J. F. (2017). *Un-standardising curriculum: Multicultural teaching in the standards-based classroom*. Teachers College Press.
- Timmis, S., Broadfoot, P., Sutherland, R., & Oldfield, A. (2016). Rethinking assessment in a digital age: Opportunities, challenges and risks. *British Educational Research Journal*, 42(3), 454–476.
- Van den Broeck, A., Ferris, D. L., Chang, C. H., & Rosen, C. C. (2016). A review of self-determination theory's basic psychological needs at work. *Journal of Management*, 42(5), 1195-1229.
- Van Eijk, G. (2017). Socioeconomic marginality in sentencing: The built-in bias in risk assessment tools and the reproduction of social inequality. *Punishment & Society*, 19(4), 463–481.
- Verger, A., Fontdevila, C., & Parcerisa, L. (2019). Reforming governance through policy instruments: How and to what extent standards, tests and accountability in education spread worldwide. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 40(2), 248–270.
- Vo, H. M., Zhu, C., & Diep, N. A. (2017). The effect of blended learning on student performance at course-level in higher education: A meta-analysis. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 53, 17–28.

- Woldegiorgis, E. T., & Chiramba, O. (2025). Access and success in higher education: fostering resilience in historically disadvantaged students in South Africa. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 17(2), 759–771.
- Wormeli, R. (2023). *Fair isn't always equal: Assessment & Grading in the Differentiated Classroom*. Routledge.
- Wu, F., & Fan, W. (2017). Academic procrastination in linking motivation and achievement-related behaviours: A perspective of expectancy-value theory. *Educational Psychology*, 37(6), 695-711.
- Zara, A., Shehzad, A., Zameer, M., Arshad, N., Tariq, A., & Abid, G. (2022). Impact of employee autonomy and family motivation on organisational citizenship behaviour: Mediation of intrinsic motivation and moderation of fairness perception. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, 11(2), 271-291.
- Zeng, L. M. (2025). 'Who knows what will come next to interrupt our assessments': the adaptability of assessments across face-to-face and online instructional environments. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 50(2), 250–265.
- Ziad, S. E. (2021). *Formative Self-assessment and Identity in Language Learning* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southampton).